

On the minimal free resolution of non-special curves in $\mathbf{P}^3$

Edoardo Ballico

Abstract

Here we prove that the minimal free resolution of a general space curve of large degree (e.g. a general space curve of degree d and genus g with $d \geq g + 3$, except for finitely many pairs (d, g)) is the expected one. A similar result holds even for general curves with special hyperplane section and, roughly, $d \geq g/2$. The proof uses the so-called methode d'Horace.

Résumé

On montre ici que la résolution minimale de l'idéal d'une courbe générique de grand degree dans $\mathbf{P}^3$ est la résolution minimal attendue.

0 Introduction

Many important properties of a closed subscheme X of $\mathbf{P}^n$ are detected by the numerical data of its minimal free resolution, i.e. by its Betti numbers. Assume $n = 3$ and that X is a curve. We are mainly interested in the case $h^1(X, O_X(1)) = 0$. But we study also some curves, X , with $h^1(X, O_X(1)) \neq 0$ and $h^1(X, O_X(1)) = 0$ (see Theorem 0.3). Set $\Pi := \mathbf{P}^3$. X is said to have maximal rank if for every integer t the restriction map $r_{X,t} : H^0(\Pi, O_\Pi(t)) \rightarrow H^0(X, O_X(t))$ has maximal rank. It is known that for all integers d, g with $d \geq g+3$ a general non-special smooth space curve of genus g and degree d has maximal rank (see [BE1]). For such curves there are strong restrictions on the possible Betti numbers: roughly speaking, by Castelnuovo - Mumford's lemma at each step of the minimal free resolution of X at most two different Betti numbers may be non-zero. We will say that X has the expected minimal

free resolution if for every integer t the line bundle $O_\Pi(t)$ is not a direct summand of two syzygy components of the minimal free resolution of X . This is equivalent to the fact that at most at one step of the minimal free resolution of X two Betti numbers are non-zero (see e.g. the introduction of [HS] or [BG] in the case of points instead of curves, or here section 1). By Koszul cohomology this condition is equivalent to the fact that for a "critical" integer m the restriction maps $r_{X,\Omega(m+1)} : H^0(\Pi, \Omega(m+1)) \rightarrow H^0(X, (\Omega(m+1)|X))$ and $r_{X,T\Pi(m-2)} : H^0(\Pi, T\Pi(m-2)) \rightarrow H^0(X, (T\Pi(m-2)|X))$ have maximal rank, where Ω (resp. $T\Pi$) will denote the cotangent (resp. tangent) bundle of Π (see section 1 or [G] or the introductions of [HS] or [BG]); here m is the only integer ≥ 2 with $h^0(\Pi, I_X(m-1)) = h^1(\Pi, I_X(m)) = 0$. For instance, the fact that the homogeneous ideal of the maximal rank non-special curve X has the minimal possible number of generators in each degree is equivalent to the fact that the linear map $r_{X,\Omega(m+1)}$ has maximal rank (see e.g. [B] or the introduction of [I]). If X has the expected minimal free resolution, then its Betti numbers depend only on d and g and may be given explicitly. In [BE2] for all pairs (d, g) of integers with $d \geq 3$ and $d-3 \leq g \leq 2d-9$ it was defined an irreducible component, $H(d, g)$, of the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}(\Pi)$ of $\mathbf{P}^3$ with very nice properties (see section 1 for more details). Here we will prove the following results.

Theorem 0.1 *There exists an integer g_0 such that for every integer $g \geq g_0$ and every integer $d \geq g+3$ a general non-special degree d embedding in $\mathbf{P}^3$ of a general curve of genus g has the expected minimal free resolution.*

Theorem 0.2 *For every integer $g \geq 0$ there is an integer $D(g)$ such that for every integer $d \geq D(g)$ a general degree d embedding in $\mathbf{P}^3$ of a general curve of genus g has the expected minimal free resolution.*

Theorem 0.3 *There exists a function $g : N \rightarrow R_+$ with $\lim_{g \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma(g) = 1/2$ such that for every $d \geq g\gamma(g)$ a general element of $H(d, g)$ has the expected minimal free resolution.*

In the statement of Theorem 0.1 we may take $g_0 = 899$ (see Remark 5.1). In the statement of Theorem 0.2 we may take $D(g) = g+3$ if $g \geq 899$, $D(g) = 641927953$ if $0 < g \leq 898$ and $D(0) = 932$ (see Remark 8.139). As in

[I], [HS], [B], [BG] and in previous papers on the postulation of space curves ([BE1] and [BE2]) we will use the so - called Horace method introduced in [H1]. To apply Horace method we need to control the cohomology of the restrictions $\Omega|_Q$ and $T\Pi|_Q$ of Ω and $T\Pi$ to a smooth quadric surface Q . This is done in section 3. The main inductive assertions for Theorem 0.1 are stated and proved (modulo several initial cases) in section 4. Section 5 contains the reduction of the proof of Theorem 0.1 (and, essentially, Theorems 0.2 and 0.3, too) to the proof of the main inductive assertions. Section 6 contains the proof of the huge number of initial cases of the inductive statements needed for 0.1. Section 7 contains the proof of 0.3. The last section contains the proof of 0.2. As for 0.1, our proof 0.2 force us to check a huge number of initial cases. We work over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{K}$. To use freely the references [BE1] and [BE2] we assume $\text{char}(\mathbf{K}) = 0$. The author was partially supported by MURST and GNSAGA of CNR (Italy)

1 Preliminaries

Set $\Pi := \mathbf{P}^3$, $O := O_\Pi$ and $\Omega := \Omega_\Pi^1$. For any sheaf F on Π , set $h^i(F) := h^i(\Pi, F)$ and $H^i(F) := H^i(\Pi, F)$. By the Euler's exact sequence of $T\Pi$ and its dual exact sequence we obtain $h^0(\Omega(t)) = (t+2)(t+1)(t-1)/2$ for $t \geq 2$, $h^0(\Omega(t)) = 0$ for $t \leq 1$, $h^i(\Omega(t)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(i, t) \neq (1, 0)$, $h^1(\Omega) = 1$, $h^0(T\Pi(t)) = (t+3)(t+2)(t+5)/2$ for $t \geq -1$, $h^0(T\Pi(t)) = 0$ for $t \leq -2$, $h^i(T\Pi(t)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(i, t) \neq (2, -4)$.

Remark 1.1 Let $Z \subset \Pi$ be a subscheme which is the union of a curve Y and a 0-dimensional scheme. Fix an integer $m \geq 2$ and assume $h^1(Y, O_Y(m-1)) = 0$. By the Euler's exact sequence of $T\Pi$ and its dual we obtain $h^1(Z, \Omega(m+1)|Z) = h^1(Z, (T\Pi(m-2)|Z)) = 0$. By Riemann - Roch we have:

$$h^0(Z, \Omega(m+1)|Z) = (3m-1)\deg(Y) + 3\chi(O_Z) \quad (1)$$

$$h^0(Z, T\Pi(m-2)|Z) = (3m-2)\deg(Y) + 3\chi(O_Z) \quad (2)$$

Note that by 1 and 2 the congruence classes modulo 3 of $h^0(Z, \Omega(m+1)|Z)$ and $h^0(Z, T\Pi(m-2)|Z)$ depend only on $\deg(Y)$.

Fix integers d, g with either $g \geq 0$ and $d \geq g + 3$ or $d \geq 3$ and $d - 3 \leq g \leq 2d - 9$. There is an irreducible component $H(d, g)$ of the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}(\Pi)$ of Π with the following properties ([BE2]). $H(d, g)$ is generically smooth, $\dim(H(d, g)) = 4d$ and a general $D \in H(d, g)$ is a smooth connected curve with $\deg(D) = d$, $p_a(D) = g$, $h^1(D, N_D) = 0$. If $d \geq g + 3$ then a general $D \in H(d, g)$ is not special. If $d < g + 2$, then $H(d, g)$ has the right number of moduli in the sense of [Se]. Thus if $4d \geq 3g + 12$ $H(d, g)$ contains curves with general moduli; furthermore, a general $D \in H(d, g)$ has $h^1(D, O_D(2)) = 0$. In the range of pairs (d, g) in which we will use the schemes $H(d, g)$ a general $D \in H(d, g)$ has $h^1(D, O_D(-2)) = 0$ (see [EH], [W1], Th. 2.15, and [W2], Th. 9.4 and 10.5, plus the definition of $H(d, g)$ given in [BE2], def. 1.3). If $d \geq g + 3$, then a general $D \in H(d, g)$ has maximal rank ([BE1]). If $4d \geq 3g + 12$ a general $D \in H(d, g)$ has maximal rank ([BE2], Th. 1). If $4d < 3g + 12$ we know only a weaker statement, i.e. the existence of a function $u : N \rightarrow R$ with $\lim_{g \rightarrow +\infty} u(g) = 1/2$ and such that if $d \geq g \cdot u(g)$ a general $D \in H(d, g)$ has maximal rank ([BE2], Th. 2, and [W2], Th. 7.1). It was proved in [BE2] that the family of all schemes $H(d, g)$ for varying d and g has very strong stability properties with respect to the addition of "rational tails" in the sense explained by the following example which follows easily from a particular case of [BE2], Lemma 1.5.

Example 1.2 Fix a general $A \in H(d, g)$ and integers u, v, w with $0 \leq u \leq 2$, $0 \leq v \leq 3$ and $0 \leq w \leq 5$. Let C be a line (resp. a smooth conic, resp. a rational normal curve) intersecting quasi-transversally A and with $\text{card}(A \cap C) = u + 1$ (resp. $v + 1$, resp. $w + 1$). Then $A \cup C \in H(d + 1, g + u)$ (resp. $H(d + 2, g + v)$, resp. $H(d + 3, g + v)$). If $u \leq 1$, $v \leq 2$, $w \leq 3$ and $h^1(A, O_A(1)) = 0$, then a Mayer - Vietoris exact sequence gives $h_1(A \cup C, O_{A \cup C}(1)) = 0$.

For every pair of integers (d, g) such that $H(d, g)$ is defined there is a unique integer $m(d, g)$ (called the critical value of (d, g)) with the following properties. If $(d, g) = (3, 0)$ or $(4, 1)$, set $m(d, g) := 1$; otherwise, let $m(d, g)$ be the maximal integer $k \geq 2$ such that $kd + 1 - g \leq (k + 3)(k + 2)(k + 1)/6$. Fix $D \in H(d, g)$ with $h^1(D, O_D(2)) = 0$ (e.g. D general in $H(d, g)$) and let $m := m(d, g)$ be the critical value of the pair (d, g) . D is said to have maximal rank if for all integers t the restriction map $r_{D,t} : H^0(\Pi, O(t)) \rightarrow H^0(D, O_D(t))$ has maximal rank. D has maximal rank if and only if $r_{D,m}$ is surjective and $r_{D,m-1}$ is injective, i.e. if and only if $h^1(I_D(m)) = h^0(I_D(m - 1)) = 0$.

Assume that D has maximal rank. The first step of the minimal free resolution of D is the expected one (i.e. the homogeneous ideal of D has the minimal number of generators compatibly with its postulation) if and only if the homogeneous ideal of D is generated by $h^0(I_D(m))$ forms of degree m and by $\max\{0, h^0(I_D(m+1)) - 4(h^0(I_D(m)))\}$ forms of degree $m+1$. By the dual of the Euler's exact sequence for $T\Pi$ this is true if and only if the restriction map $r_{D, \Omega(m+1)} : H^0(\Pi, \Omega(m+1)) \rightarrow H^0(D, \Omega(m+1)|D)$ has maximal rank. Thus the homogeneous ideal of the maximal rank curve D has the expected number of generators if and only if either $h^1(I_D \otimes \Omega(m+1)) = 0$ or $h_0(I_D \otimes \Omega(m+1)) = 0$. The last step of the minimal free resolution of the maximal rank curve D is the expected one if and only if the restriction map $r_{D, T\Pi(m-2)} : H^0(\Pi, T\Pi(m-2)) \rightarrow H^0(D, T\Pi(m-2)|D)$ has maximal rank. If the first and the last part of the minimal free resolution of D are the expected ones, then by Euler characteristic reasons even the intermediate step of the minimal free resolution of D must be the expected one and hence D has the expected minimal free resolution. Note also that by the semicontinuity of cohomology groups in flat families of coherent sheaves all these conditions are satisfied in Zariski open subsets of $H(d, g)$ and the only problem is to show that each of these Zariski open subsets of $H(d, g)$ is not empty. Hence it is sufficient to find $D' \in H(d, g)$ and $D'' \in H(d, g)$ with $h^1(D', (\Omega|D')(m+1)) = h^1(D'', (T\Pi|D'')(m-2)) = 0$ and with $r_{D', \Omega(m+1)}$ and $r_{D'', T\Pi(m-2)}$ of maximal rank. Since $H(d, g)$ is irreducible, it is sufficient to prove the existence of some $X' \in H(d, g)$ with $r_{X', T\Pi(m-2)}$ injective (case $(3m-2)d+3(1-g) \geq h^0(T\Pi(m-2))$) or the existence of some $D'' \in H(d, g)$ with $r_{D'', T\Pi(m-2)}$ surjective (case $(3m-2)d+3(1-g) \leq h^0(T\Pi(m-2))$) and the existence some $D' \in H(d, g)$ with $r_{D', \Omega(m+1)}$ injective (case $(3m-1)d+3(1-g) \geq h_0(\Omega(m+1))$) or the existence of some $D' \in H(d, g)$ with $r_{D', \Omega(m+1)}$ surjective (case $(3m-1)d+3(1-g) \leq h^0(\Omega(m+1))$). To prove 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 we will use the following elementary form of the so - called Horace lemma.

Lemma 1.3 *Let D be an effective Cartier divisor of the scheme $Z \subset \Pi$, E a vector bundle on Z and X a closed subscheme of Z . Let $Y := \text{Res}_D(X)$ be the residual scheme of X with respect to D .*

- if $h^1(Z, E(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D) \otimes I_{Y,Z}) = h^1(D, (E|D)(m) \otimes I_{X \cap D}, D) = 0$, then $h^1(Z, E(m) \otimes I_{X,Z}) = 0$.

- if $h^0(Z, E(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-D) \otimes I_{Y,Z}) = h^0(D, (E|_D)(m) \otimes I_{X \cap D}, D) = 0$,
then $h^0(Z, E(m) \otimes I_{X,Z}) = 0$.

1.4 Here we discuss the unreduced curves which we will use in this paper. Fix $P \in \Pi$. We will call nilpotent $\chi(P)$ with support on P the first infinitesimal neighborhood of P in Π , i.e. the 0-dimensional subscheme of Π with ideal sheaf $(I_P)^2$. Let A, B curves intersecting quasi-transversally at P ; for every integer x we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{A \cup B \cup \chi(P)}(x)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{A \cup B}(x)) + 1$. If $P = A \cap B$, then $A \cup B \cup \chi(P)$ is the flat limit in Π of a family of curves $\{A_t \cup B_t\}_{t \in T}$, $A_o = A$, $B_o = B$ with A_t (resp. B_t) general translate of A (resp. B) for $t \in (T \setminus o)$; hence A_t (resp. B_t) is projectively equivalent to A (resp. B) and $A_t \cap B_t = \emptyset$ for $t \in (T \setminus \{o\})$. When $\text{card}(A \cap B) > 1$ we will always meet a situation in which a similar picture is true for the following reasons; B will be a rational normal curve or a conic or a line, $\text{card}(A \cap B) \geq \deg(B) + 1$ and B intersects quasi-transversally A ; fix an integer u with $0 \leq u < \min\{\text{card}(A \cap B), \deg(B)\}$ and let X be the union of A, B and the first infinitesimal neighborhoods of u of the points of $A_0 \cap B$; move B in a family B_t keeping the condition that each B_t intersects quasi-transversally the fixed curve A exactly at $u + 1$ points; if $A \in H(d, g)$ we see in this way that $X \in H(d + \deg(B), g + u)$.

Definition 1.5 Let A, B be space curves and t an integer ≥ 1 . B is said to be t -secant to A if $\text{card}(A \cap B) = t$ and B intersects A quasi-transversally at every point of $A \cap B$.

2 Numerical invariants

Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer, which should be seen as the "critical value" for the pair (d, g) we are interested in. As in [H1] or [BE1] we define integers $d''(m)$ and $r(m)$ which are related to the postulation problem for curves of genus 0. Define $d''(m)$ and $r(m)$ by the following relations:

$$m \cdot d''(m) + 1 + r(m) = (m + 3)(m + 2)(m + 1)/6, 0 \leq r(m) \leq m - 1 \quad (3)$$

In [BE1], p. 544, the integer $d''(m)$ (resp. $r(m)$) was denoted with $r(m, 0)$ (resp. $q(m, 0)$). Set $d''(0) := 1$ and $r(0) := 0$. For every integer $k \geq 0$

we have $d''(6k) = 6k^2 + 6k + 1$, $r(6k) = 5k$, $d''(6k + 1) = 6k^2 + 8k + 3$, $r(6k + 1) = 0$, $d''(6k + 2) = 6k^2 + 10k + 4$, $r(6k + 2) = 3k + 1$, $d''(6k + 3) = 6k^2 + 8k + 3$, $r(6k + 3) = 2k + 1$, $d''(6k + 4) = 6k^2 + 14k + 8$, $r(6k + 4) = 3k + 2$, $d''(6k + 5) = 6k^2 + 16k + 11$, $r(6k + 5) = 0$ ([BE1], p. 544). Recall that for all integers $m \geq 0$ we have $h^0(\Omega(m + 1)) = m(m + 2)(m + 3)/2$ and $h^0(T\Pi(m - 2)) = m(m + 1)(m + 3)/2$. For all integers $m \geq 2$ define the integers $d(m)$, $a(m)$, $b(m)$, $d'(m)$, $a'(m)$, $b'(m)$ by the relations

$$(3m - 1)d(m) + 3 + 3a(m) + b(m) = m(m + 2)(m + 3)/2 \quad (4)$$

$$0 \leq a(m) \leq m - 1, 0 \leq b(m) \leq 2, (a(m), b(m)) \neq (m - 1, 2) \quad (5)$$

$$(3m - 2)d'(m) + 3 + 3a'(m) + b'(m) = m(m + 1)(m + 3)/2 \quad (6)$$

$$0 \leq a'(m) \leq m - 1, 0 \leq b'(m) \leq 2, b'(m) = 0 \text{ if } a'(m) = m - 1 \quad (7)$$

Note that we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |d(m) - m^2/6|/m^2 = 0$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |d'(m) - m^2/6|/m^2 = 0$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |d(m) - d(m - 2) - (2/3)m|/m = 0$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |d'(m) - d'(m - 2) - (2/3)m|/m = 0$. Since $3h^0(O(m)) \geq h^0(\Omega(m + 1)) \geq h^0(T\Pi(m - 2)) \geq 3h^0(O(m - 1))$ for all integers $m \geq 0$, we have $d''(m - 1) \leq d'(m) \leq d(m) \leq d''(m)$. Recall that $h^0(\Omega(m + 1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$, $h^0(\Omega(m + 1)) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ for $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $h^0(T\Pi(m - 2)) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $m \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$ and $h^0(T\Pi(m - 2)) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ for $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Motivated by Remark 1.1 and Riemann - Roch formulas 1 and 2 we introduce the following definitions. Let $d(m)^*$ be the maximal integer $\leq d(m)$ such that $-d(m)^* \equiv h^0(\Omega(m + 1)) \pmod{3}$. Let $d'(m)^*$ be the maximal integer $\leq d'(m)$ such that $-2d'(m)^* \equiv h^0(T\Pi(m - 2)) \pmod{3}$. Note that $d(m) - 2 \leq d(m)^* \leq d(m)$ and $d'(m) - 2 \leq d'(m)^* \leq d'(m)$ for every m . Thus for every $m \geq 4$ we have $|(d(m) - d(m - 2)) - (d(m)^* - d(m - 2)^*)| \leq 2$, $|(d'(m) - d'(m - 2)) - (d'(m)^* - d'(m - 2)^*)| \leq 2$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |d(m)^* - d(m - 2)^* - (2/3)m|/m = 0$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |d'(m)^* - d'(m - 2)^* - (2/3)m|/m = 0$. Now we will define integers $\delta(m)$, $\delta'(m)$, $\delta''(m)$, $\alpha(m)$, $\beta(m)$, $\alpha'(m)$, $\beta'(m)$, $\delta''(m)$, $\rho(m)$, $\delta(m)^*$ and $\delta'(m)^*$ with the following modifications of the definitions given at the beginning of this section for the integers $\delta(m)$, $\delta'(m)$, $\delta''(m)$, $\alpha(m)$, $\beta(m)$, $\alpha'(m)$, $\beta'(m)$, $\delta''(m)$, $\rho(m)$, $\delta(m)^*$ and $\delta'(m)^*$. For $m \geq 2$ we use the following relations:

$$(m - 1)\delta''(m) + 4 + \rho(m) = (m + 3)(m + 2)(m + 1)/6, 0 \leq \rho(m) \leq m - 2 \quad (8)$$

$$(3m - 4)\delta(m) + 12 + 3\alpha(m) + \beta(m) = m(m + 2)(m + 3)/2 \quad (9)$$

$$0 \leq \alpha(m) \leq m-2, 0 \leq \beta(m) \leq 2, (\alpha(m), \beta(m)) \neq (m-2, 2) \quad (10)$$

$$(3m-5)\delta'(m) + 12 + 3\alpha'(m) + \beta'(m) = m(m+1)(m+3)/2 \quad (11)$$

$$0 \leq \alpha'(m) \leq m-2, 0 \leq \beta'(m) \leq 2, \beta'(m) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha'(m) = m-2 \quad (12)$$

Note that we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |\delta(m) - m^2/6|/m^2 = 0$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |\delta'(m) - m^2/6|/m^2 = 0$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |\delta(m) - \delta(m-2) - (2/3)m|/m = 0$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |\delta'(m) - \delta'(m-2) - (2/3)m|/m = 0$. Since $3h^0(O(m)) \geq h^0(\Omega(m+1)) \geq h^0(T\Pi(m-2)) \geq 3h^0(O(m-1))$ for all integers $m \geq 0$, we have $\delta''(m-1) \leq \delta'(m) \leq \delta(m) \leq \delta''(m)$. The integers $\delta''(m)$ and $\rho(m)$ were called $d(m)$ and $b(m)$ in [BE1], §3. These integers are related to curves of genus γ and degree $\gamma+3$ with critical value m (see the Riemann - Roch formulas 1 and 2). Let $\delta(m)^*$ be the maximal integer $\leq \delta(m)$ such that $-\delta(m)^* \equiv h^0(\Omega(m+1)) \pmod{3}$. Let $\delta'(m)^*$ be the maximal integer $\leq \delta'(m)$ such that $-2\delta'(m)^* \equiv h^0(T\Pi(m-2)) \pmod{3}$. Note that $\delta(m) - 2 \leq \delta(m)^* \leq \delta(m)$ and $\delta'(m) - 2 \leq \delta'(m)^* \leq \delta'(m)$ for every m . For every integer $m \geq 2$ define the integers $a(m)^*$, $a'(m)^*$, $\alpha(m)^*$, and $\alpha'(m)^*$ by the following relations:

$$3a(m)^* = (3m-1)(d(m) - d(m)^*) + 3a(m) + b(m), 0 \leq b(m) \leq 2 \quad (13)$$

$$3a'(m)^* = (3m-2)(d'(m) - d'(m)^*) + 3a'(m) + b'(m), 0 \leq b'(m) \leq 2 \quad (14)$$

$$3\alpha(m)^* = (3m-4)(\delta(m) - \delta(m)^*) + 3\alpha(m) + \beta(m), 0 \leq \beta(m) \leq 2 \quad (15)$$

$$3\alpha'(m)^* = (3m-5)(\delta'(m) - \delta'(m)^*) + 3\alpha'(m) + \beta'(m), 0 \leq \beta'(m) \leq 2 \quad (16)$$

Remark 2.1 Note that $a(m)^*$, $a'(m)^*$, $\alpha(m)^*$ and $\alpha'(m)^*$ are integers and not just rational numbers of the form $x/3$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the definition of $d(m)^*$, $d'(m)^*$, $\delta(m)^*$ and $\delta'(m)^*$.

We introduced the integers $\delta(m)^*$, $\alpha(m)^*$, $\delta'(m)^*$, $\alpha'(m)^*$, $d(m)^*$, $a(m)^*$, $d'(m)^*$ and $a'(m)^*$ because $\text{rank}(\Omega) = \text{rank}(T\Pi)$ and hence by the Riemann - Roch formulas 1 and 2 using these integers we will be able to bypass several problems of congruences modulo 3 for the inductive proofs of Theorem 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 and avoid the use of " points of the geometric vector bundles $V(T\Pi)$ and $V(\Omega)$ " or " one-third of the point of $\mathbf{P}^3$ " which is the key idea of [H2] and a key point for the proofs in [I]. The price we pay to avoid

this notion is the huge number of initial cases handled in sections 6 and 8. Anyway, even using this notion we were unable to avoid a lot of initial cases and we believe that the remark on the congruence classes modulo 3 and the corresponding introduction of the integers $\delta(m)^*$, $\alpha(m)^*$, $\delta'(m)^*$, $\alpha'(m)^*$, $d(m)^*$, $a(m)^*$, $d'(m)^*$ and $a'(m)^*$ is very useful for Horace - type proofs on the minimal free resolution of space curves.

Remark 2.2 Since $0 \leq d(m) - d(m)^* \leq 2$, $0 \leq d'(m) - d'(m)^* \leq 2$, $0 \leq d(m) - d(m)^* \leq 2$ and $0 \leq d'(m) - d'(m)^* \leq 2$, we have $0 \leq a(m)^* \leq 3m - 1$, $0 \leq a'(m)^* \leq 3m - 2$, $0 \leq a(m)^* \leq 3m - 4$ and $0 \leq a'(m)^* \leq 3m - 5$. Fix an integer $g \geq 0$. For all integers $m \geq 2$ set $b(m, g) := b(m, 0) := b(m)$, $b'(m, g) := b'(m)$ and define the integers $d(m, g)$, $a(m, g)$, $d'(m, g)$ and $a'(m, g)$ by the following relations:

$$(3m - 1)d(m, g) + 3(1 - g) + 3a(m, g) + b(m) = m(m + 2)(m + 3)/2 \quad (17)$$

$$0 \leq a(m, g) \leq m - 1, 0 \leq b(m, g) \leq 2, (a(m, g), b(m)) \neq (m - 1, 2) \quad (18)$$

$$(3m - 2)d'(m, g) + 3(1 - g) + 3a'(m, g) + b'(m) = m(m + 1)(m + 3)/2 \quad (19)$$

$$0 \leq a'(m, g) \leq m - 1, 0 \leq b'(m, g) \leq 2, b'(m) = 0 \quad \text{if } a'(m, g) = 0 \quad (20)$$

Remark 2.3 Note that $a(m, g) = a(m) + g$ and $d(m, g) = d(m)$ if $a(m) + g \leq 3m - 2$. In the general case we have $d(m, g) \geq d(m, 0)$ and $(d(m, g) - d(m))(3m - 1) + a(m, g) = a(m) + g$.

Remark 2.4 Note that $a'(m, g) = a'(m) + g$ and $d'(m, g) = d'(m)$ if $a'(m) + g \leq 3m - 3$. In the general case we have $d'(m, g) \geq d'(m, 0)$ and $(d'(m, g) - d'(m))(3m - 2) + a'(m, g) = a'(m) + g$.

2.5 Here we give the explicit values of the integers $\delta(m)^*$, $\alpha(m)^*$, $\delta'(m)^*$ and $\alpha'(m)^*$ for $m \leq 51$. We have $\delta(2)^* = 4, \alpha(2)^* = 0, \delta'(2)^* = 3, \alpha'(2)^* = 0, \delta(3)^* = 6, \alpha(3)^* = 1, \delta'(3)^* = 6, \alpha'(3)^* = 0, \delta(4)^* = 9, \alpha(4)^* = 0, \delta'(4)^* = 7, \alpha'(4)^* = 3, \delta(5)^* = 10, \alpha(5)^* = 6, \delta'(5)^* = 9, \alpha'(5)^* = 6, \delta(6)^* = 15, \alpha(6)^* = 2, \delta'(6)^* = 13, \alpha'(6)^* = 12, \delta(7)^* = 15, \alpha(7)^* = 16, \delta'(7)^* = 15, \alpha'(7)^* = 3, \delta(8)^* = 19, \alpha(8)^* = 12, \delta'(8)^* = 18, \alpha'(8)^* = 17, \delta(9)^* = 24, \alpha(9)^* = 10, \delta'(9)^* = 24, \alpha'(9)^* = 0, \delta(10)^* = 28, \alpha(10)^* = 20, \delta'(10)^* =$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 28, \alpha'(10)^* = 1, \delta(11)^* = 33, \alpha(11)^* = 4, \delta'(11)^* = 30, \alpha'(11)^* = 24, \delta(12)^* = \\
& 39, \alpha(12)^* = 0, \delta'(12)^* = 36, \alpha'(12)^* = 14, \delta(13)^* = 42, \alpha(13)^* = 26, \delta'(13)^* = \\
& 42, \alpha'(13)^* = 2, \delta(14)^* = 49, \alpha(14)^* = 10, \delta'(14)^* = 45, \alpha'(14)^* = 36, \delta(15)^* = \\
& 54, \alpha(15)^* = 23, \delta'(15)^* = 51, \alpha'(15)^* = 36, \delta(16)^* = 60, \alpha(16)^* = \\
& 28, \delta'(16)^* = 58, \alpha'(16)^* = 26, \delta(17)^* = 67, \alpha(17)^* = 19, \delta'(17)^* = \\
& 66, \alpha'(17)^* = 4, \delta(18)^* = 75, \alpha(18)^* = 6, \delta'(18)^* = 72, \alpha'(18)^* = 17, \delta(19)^* = \\
& 81, \alpha(19)^* = 28, \delta'(19)^* = 79, \alpha'(19)^* = 20, \delta(20)^* = 88, \alpha(20)^* = \\
& 40, \delta'(20)^* = 87, \alpha'(20)^* = 11, \delta(21)^* = 96, \alpha(21)^* = 44, \delta'(21)^* = \\
& 93, \alpha'(21)^* = 46, \delta(22)^* = 105, \alpha(22)^* = 26, \delta'(22)^* = 103, \alpha'(22)^* = \\
& 10, \delta(23)^* = 112, \alpha(23)^* = 61, \delta'(23)^* = 111, \alpha'(23)^* = 20, \delta(24)^* = \\
& 123, \alpha(24)^* = 16, \delta'(24)^* = 120, \alpha'(24)^* = 16, \delta(25)^* = 132, \alpha(25)^* = \\
& 22, \delta'(25)^* = 127, \alpha'(25)^* = 66, \delta(26)^* = 142, \alpha(26)^* = 12, \delta'(26)^* = \\
& 138, \alpha'(26)^* = 31, \delta(27)^* = 150, \alpha(27)^* = 61, \delta'(27)^* = 147, \alpha'(27)^* = \\
& 52, \delta(28)^* = 162, \alpha(28)^* = 16, \delta'(28)^* = 157, \alpha'(28)^* = 57, \delta(29)^* = \\
& 177, \alpha(29)^* = 59, \delta'(29)^* = 168, \alpha'(29)^* = 44, \delta(30)^* = 183, \alpha(30)^* = \\
& 30, \delta'(30)^* = 180, \alpha'(30)^* = 11, \delta(31)^* = 195, \alpha(31)^* = 8, \delta'(31)^* = \\
& 190, \alpha'(31)^* = 44, \delta(32)^* = 205, \alpha(32)^* = 56, \delta'(32)^* = 201, \alpha'(32)^* = \\
& 59, \delta(33)^* = 216, \alpha(33)^* = 86, \delta'(33)^* = 213, \alpha'(33)^* = 54, \delta(34)^* = \\
& 228, \alpha(34)^* = 96, \delta'(34)^* = 226, \alpha'(34)^* = 27, \delta(35)^* = 241, \alpha(35)^* = \\
& 84, \delta'(35)^* = 237, \alpha'(35)^* = 76, \delta(36)^* = 255, \alpha(36)^* = 48, \delta'(36)^* = \\
& 252, \alpha'(36)^* = 2, \delta(37)^* = 267, \alpha(37)^* = 93, \delta'(37)^* = 265, \alpha'(37)^* = \\
& 6, \delta(38)^* = 283, \alpha(38)^* = 6, \delta'(38)^* = 276, \alpha'(38)^* = 95, \delta(39)^* = \\
& 297, \alpha(39)^* = 2, \delta'(39)^* = 291, \alpha'(39)^* = 52, \delta(40)^* = 309, \alpha(40)^* = \\
& 88, \delta'(40)^* = 304, \alpha'(40)^* = 100, \delta(41)^* = 325, \alpha(41)^* = 33, \delta'(41)^* = \\
& 318, \alpha'(41)^* = 116, \delta(42)^* = 339, \alpha(42)^* = 70, \delta'(42)^* = 333, \alpha'(42)^* = \\
& 110, \delta(43)^* = 354, \alpha(43)^* = 81, \delta'(43)^* = 349, \alpha'(43)^* = 76, \delta(44)^* = \\
& 371, \alpha(44)^* = 64, \delta'(44)^* = 366, \alpha'(44)^* = 12, \delta(45)^* = 387, \alpha(45)^* = \\
& 17, \delta'(45)^* = 381, \alpha'(45)^* = 46, \delta(46)^* = 402, \alpha(46)^* = 72, \delta'(46)^* = \\
& 397, \alpha'(46)^* = 52, \delta(47)^* = 418, \alpha(47)^* = 99, \delta'(47)^* = 414, \alpha'(47)^* = \\
& 28, \delta(48)^* = 435, \alpha(48)^* = 96, \delta'(48)^* = 429, \alpha'(48)^* = 111, \delta(49)^* = \\
& 453, \alpha(49)^* = 61, \delta'(49)^* = 448, \alpha'(49)^* = 24, \delta(50)^* = 469, \alpha(50)^* = \\
& 142, \delta'(50)^* = 465, \alpha'(50)^* = 46, \delta(51)^* = 486, \alpha(51)^* = 185, \delta'(51)^* = \\
& 483, \alpha'(51)^* = 36.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6 *For every integer $m \geq 52$ we have $\alpha(m)^* \leq 20(\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* - 23)$.*

Proof. We have $\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* \geq \delta(m+2) - \delta(m)^* - 2 \geq \delta(m+2) - \delta(m) - 2$ and $\alpha(m)^* \leq 3m - 4$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $\delta(m+2) - \delta(m) \geq 25 + (3m-4)/20$. By eq. 9 for $m+2$ and m we obtain $\delta(m+2) \leq (m+5)(m+4)(m+2)/2(3m+2)$ and $(3m-4)(\delta(m+2) - \delta(m)) = (m+2)(3m+10) - 6\delta(m+2) - (3\alpha(m+2) + b(m+2) - 3\alpha(m) - b(m)) \geq (m+2)(3m+10) - 3(m+5)(m+4)(m+2)/(3m+2) - (3m+2)$. Hence it is sufficient to obtain the inequality $(25 + (3m-4)/20)(3m-4) \leq (m+2)(3m+10) - 3(m+5)(m+4)(m+2)/(3m+2) - (3m+3)$, i.e. the inequality $(3m+2)(9m^2 - 1500m - 1992) \leq 20(3m+2)(3m^2 + 13m + 17) - 60(m^3 + 11m^2 + 38m + 20)$, i.e. the inequality $93m^3 - 4722m^2 + 8236m + 2864 \geq 0$, which is true for $m \geq 52$. $\diamond$

Lemma 2.7 *For every integer $m \geq 52$ we have $\alpha'(m)^* \leq 20(\delta'(m+2)^* - \delta'(m)^* - 23)$.*

For Theorem 0.3 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8 *For every integer $g \geq 0$ and every $m \geq \max\{70, m_o(g) - 2\}$ we have $a(m, g)^* \leq 3m - 1 \leq 20(d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - 23)$.*

Proof. Since $a(m, g)^* \leq 3m - 1$, it is sufficient to check the second inequality. Since $d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* \geq d(m+2, g) - d(m, g)^* - 2 \geq d(m+2, g) - d(m, g) - 2$, it is sufficient to check that $20(d(m+2, g) - d(m, g) - 25) \geq 3m - 1$ for $m \geq \max\{70, m_o(g) - 2\}$. By eq. 13 for m and $m+2$ we obtain

$$(3m-1)(d(m+2, g) - d(m, g)) + 3a(m+2, g) - 3a(m, g) + b(m+2, g) - b(m, g) + 6d(m+2, g) = (m+2)(3m+10) \quad (21)$$

We have $a(m+2, g) \leq m+1$ and $b(m+2, g) \leq 2$. We have $d(m+2, g) \leq \delta(m+2)$ because $m+2 \geq m_o(g)$. Hence $d(m+2, g) \leq \delta(m+2) \leq (m+5)(m+4)(m+2)/2(3m+2)$. Thus by eq. 21 to obtain the second inequality of 2.8 it is sufficient to check when $(3m-1)(3m+5)(3m+499) \leq 20(3m+5)(3m^2+3m+12) - 60(m^3+11m^2+38m+40)$, i.e. when $(3m+5)(51m^2 - 1440m - 259) \geq 60(m^3+11m^2+38m+40)$. This is true for every $m \geq 70$. $\diamond$

In the same way we obtain the next lemma.

Lemma 2.9 *For every $g \geq 0$ and every $m \geq \max\{70, m_o(g) - 2\}$ we have $a'(m, g)^* \leq 3m - 2 \leq 20(d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - 23)$.*

Lemma 2.10 For every $g \geq 0$ and $m \geq \max\{70, m_o(g) - 2\}$ we have $\alpha(m_o(g) - 1)^* \leq 20(d(m_o(g) + 1, g)^* - \delta(m_o(g) - 2)^* - 23)$ and $\alpha(m_o(g) - 1)^* \leq 20(d(m_o(g) + 1, g)^* - \delta(m_o(g) - 2)^* - 23)$.

Proof. By definition we have $\delta(x)^* = d(x, d(x) - 3)^*$. If $g' \geq g''$ we have $d(x, g')^* \geq d(x, g'')$ and hence we conclude by lemma 2.8. $\diamond$

Lemma 2.11 For every $g \geq 0$ and $m \geq \max\{70, m_o(g) - 2\}$ we have $\alpha'(m_o(g) - 1)^* \leq 20(d'(m_o(g) + 1, g)^* - \delta'(m_o(g) - 2)^* - 23)$ and $\alpha'(m_o(g) - 1)^* \leq 20(d'(m_o(g) + 1, g)^* - \delta'(m_o(g) - 2)^* - 23)$.

2.12 Here we give the values of the integers $d(m)^*$, $a(m)^*$, $d'(m)^*$ and $a'(m)^*$ for $m \leq 70$. We have $d(2)^* = 1, a(2)^* = 4, d'(2)^* = 3, a'(2)^* = 0, d(3)^* = 3, a(3)^* = 6, d'(3)^* = 3, a'(3)^* = 4, d(4)^* = 6, a(4)^* = 5, d'(4)^* = 4, a'(4)^* = 9, d(5)^* = 7, a(5)^* = 13, d'(5)^* = 9, a'(5)^* = 0, d(6)^* = 12, a(6)^* = 3, d'(6)^* = 9, a'(6)^* = 14, d(7)^* = 15, a(7)^* = 4, d'(7)^* = 13, a'(7)^* = 10, d(8)^* = 19, a(8)^* = 0, d'(8)^* = 15, a'(8)^* = 21, d(9)^* = 21, a(9)^* = 15, d'(9)^* = 21, a'(9)^* = 4, d(10)^* = 24, a(10)^* = 27, d'(10)^* = 25, a'(10)^* = 4, d(11)^* = 31, a(11)^* = 2, d'(11)^* = 27, a'(11)^* = 28, d(12)^* = 33, a(12)^* = 34, d'(12)^* = 33, a'(12)^* = 15, d(13)^* = 39, a(13)^* = 25, d'(13)^* = 37, a'(13)^* = 28, d(14)^* = 46, a(14)^* = 5, d'(14)^* = 42, a'(14)^* = 34, d(15)^* = 51, a(15)^* = 16, d'(15)^* = 48, a'(15)^* = 31, d(16)^* = 57, a(16)^* = 18, d'(16)^* = 55, a'(16)^* = 17, d(17)^* = 64, a(17)^* = 9, d'(17)^* = 60, a'(17)^* = 39, d(18)^* = 69, a(18)^* = 40, d'(18)^* = 69, a'(18)^* = 0, d(19)^* = 78, a(19)^* = 6, d'(19)^* = 73, a'(19)^* = 54, d(20)^* = 85, a(20)^* = 14, d'(20)^* = 81, a'(20)^* = 43, d(21)^* = 93, a(21)^* = 9, d'(21)^* = 90, a'(21)^* = 17, d(22)^* = 99, a(22)^* = 54, d'(22)^* = 97, a'(22)^* = 38, d(23)^* = 109, a(23)^* = 20, d'(23)^* = 105, a'(23)^* = 46, d(24)^* = 117, a(24)^* = 38, d'(24)^* = 114, a'(24)^* = 39, d(25)^* = 126, a(25)^* = 41, d'(25)^* = 124, a'(25)^* = 15, d(26)^* = 136, a(26)^* = 27, d'(26)^* = 132, a'(26)^* = 48, d(27)^* = 144, a(27)^* = 74, d'(27)^* = 141, a'(27)^* = 66, d(28)^* = 156, a(28)^* = 23, d'(28)^* = 151, a'(28)^* = 67, d(29)^* = 166, a(29)^* = 35, d'(29)^* = 162, a'(29)^* = 49, d(30)^* = 177, a(30)^* = 28, d'(30)^* = 174, a'(30)^* = 10, d(31)^* = 189, a(31)^* = 0, d'(31)^* = 184, a'(31)^* = 39, d(32)^* = 199, a(32)^* = 44, d'(32)^* = 195, a'(32)^* = 49, d(33)^* = 216, a(33)^* = 83, d'(33)^* = 207, a'(33)^* = 38, d(34)^* = 222, a(34)^* = 73, d'(34)^* = 220, a'(34)^* =$

$$\begin{aligned}
4, d(35)^* &= 235, a(35)^* = 54, d'(35)^* = 231, a'(35)^* = 54, d(36)^* = \\
249, a(36)^* &= 10, d'(36)^* = 243, a'(36)^* = 71, d(37)^* = 261, a(37)^* = \\
49, d'(37)^* &= 256, a'(37)^* = 71, d(38)^* = 274, a(38)^* = 65, d'(38)^* = \\
270, a'(38)^* &= 46, d(39)^* = 288, a(39)^* = 56, d'(39)^* = 282, a'(39)^* = \\
109, d(40)^* &= 303, a(40)^* = 20, d'(40)^* = 298, a'(40)^* = 31, d(41)^* = \\
316, a(41)^* &= 77, d'(41)^* = 312, a'(41)^* = 43, d(42)^* = 330, a(42)^* = \\
109, d'(42)^* &= 327, a'(42)^* = 28, d(43)^* = 345, a(43)^* = 114, d'(43)^* = \\
340, a'(43)^* &= 111, d(44)^* = 361, a(44)^* = 90, d'(44)^* = 357, a'(44)^* = \\
39, d(45)^* &= 378, a(45)^* = 35, d'(45)^* = 372, a'(45)^* = 67, d(46)^* = \\
393, a(46)^* &= 84, d'(46)^* = 388, a'(46)^* = 66, d(47)^* = 409, a(47)^* = \\
104, d'(47)^* &= 405, a'(47)^* = 34, d(48)^* = 426, a(48)^* = 93, d'(48)^* = \\
420, a'(48)^* &= 111, d(49)^* = 444, a(49)^* = 49, d'(49)^* = 439, a'(49)^* = \\
14, d(50)^* &= 460, a(50)^* = 119, d'(50)^* = 456, a'(50)^* = 28, d(51)^* = \\
480, a(51)^* &= 6, d'(51)^* = 474, a'(51)^* = 27, d(52)^* = 498, a(52)^* = \\
27, d'(52)^* &= 490, a'(52)^* = 109, d(53)^* = 514, a(53)^* = 135, d'(53)^* = \\
510, a'(53)^* &= 21, d(54)^* = 534, a(54)^* = 69, d'(54)^* = 528, a'(54)^* = \\
54, d(55)^* &= 552, a(55)^* = 128, d'(55)^* = 547, a'(55)^* = 52, d(56)^* = \\
571, a(56)^* &= 152, d'(56)^* = 567, a'(56)^* = 13, d(57)^* = 591, a(57)^* = \\
139, d'(57)^* &= 585, a'(57)^* = 104, d(58)^* = 613, a(58)^* = 87, d'(58)^* = \\
604, a'(58)^* &= 160, d(59)^* = 631, a(59)^* = 170, d'(59)^* = 627, a'(59)^* = \\
4, d(60)^* &= 654, a(60)^* = 37, d'(60)^* = 645, a'(60)^* = 159, d(61)^* = \\
675, a(61)^* &= 41, d'(61)^* = 667, a'(61)^* = 98, d(62)^* = 697, a(62)^* = \\
4, d'(62)^* &= 687, a'(62)^* = 178, d(63)^* = 717, a(63)^* = 112, d'(63)^* = \\
711, a'(63)^* &= 32, d(64)^* = 738, a(64)^* = 181, d'(64)^* = 733, a'(64)^* = \\
29, d(65)^* &= 763, a(65)^* = 15, d'(65)^* = 753, a'(65)^* = 176, d(66)^* = \\
783, a(66)^* &= 194, d'(66)^* = 777, a'(66)^* = 88, d(67)^* = 807, a(67)^* = \\
134, d'(67)^* &= 799, a'(67)^* = 152, d(68)^* = 832, a(68)^* = 27, d'(68)^* = \\
822, a'(68)^* &= 173, d(69)^* = 855, a(69)^* = 77, d'(69)^* = 846, a'(69)^* = \\
149, d(70)^* &= 879, a(70)^* = 82, d'(70)^* = 871, a'(70)^* = 78.
\end{aligned}$$

For large m we have also a stronger form of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 which will be used for the proof of theorem 0.3.

Lemma 2.13 *For every integer $m \geq 100$ we have $\alpha(m)^* \leq 22(\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* - 26)$.*

3 On the quadric surface

For every rational normal curve $C \subset \Pi$, $\Omega|_C$ is the direct sum of 3 line bundles of degree -4 (see e.g. [B], Lemma 1.3, or [I], 3.2.2. Let $Q \subset \Pi$ be a smooth quadric surface. Consider the normal bundle sequence

$$0 \rightarrow TQ \rightarrow T\Pi|_Q \rightarrow O_Q(2, 2) \rightarrow 0 \quad (22)$$

Since $TQ \cong O_Q(2, 0) \oplus O_Q(0, 2)$, we obtain $h^1(Q, (\Omega|_Q)(x, y)) = h^2(Q, (\Omega|_Q)(x, y)) = h^1(Q, (T\Pi|_Q)(u, v)) = h^2(Q, (T\Pi|_Q)(u, v)) = 0$ for all integers x, y, u, v with $x > 0, y > 0, u \geq -1, v \geq -1$. Hence by Riemann - Roch we have $h^0(Q, (\Omega|_Q)(x, y)) = 7 + xy - 3x - 3y$ for all integers $x > 0, y > 0$ and $h^0(Q, (T\Pi|_Q)(u, v)) = 15 + uv + 5u + 5v$ for all integers $u \geq -1, v \geq -1$. Note that all smooth curves $C \subset Q, D \subset Q$ with C of type $(2, 1)$ and D of type $(1, 2)$ are rational normal curves and hence $(\Omega|_Q)(x, y)|_C$ is the direct sum of 3 line bundles of degree $-4 + 2y + x$, $(\Omega|_Q)(x, y)|_D$ is the direct sum of 3 line bundles of degree $-4 + 2x + y$, $(T\Pi|_Q)(x, y)|_C$ is the direct sum of 3 line bundles of degree $4 + 2y + x$ and $(T\Pi|_Q)(x, y)|_D$ is the direct sum of 3 line bundles of degree $4 + y + 2x$. First, we will consider the cohomology of $(T\Pi|_Q)(x, y) \otimes I_S$ and $(\Omega|_Q)(x, y) \otimes I_S$ for a general $S \subset Q$. The following lemma is essentially a very particular case of [I], Lemma 3.3.1, but the proof is different.

Lemma 3.1 *Fix non-negative integers x, y, u, w with $0 < y \leq x$ and $3u \leq h^0(Q, (\Omega|_Q)(x, y)) \leq 3w$. Let M (resp. N) be the union of u (resp. w) general points of Q . Then we have $h^1(Q, (\Omega|_Q)(x, y) \otimes I_M) = h^0(Q, (\Omega|_Q)(x, y) \otimes I_N) = 0$.*

Proof. Take a smooth curve E of type $(2, 1)$ on Q . Fix a subset of E formed by $2y + x - 3$ points and apply Horace Lemma 1.3 with respect to the Cartier divisor E of Q to reduce to a similar assertion for the integers $x' := x - 2, y' := y - 1$. Then we make the same construction using an irreducible curve of type $(1, 2)$ of E . Then we continue. At each step we will use a different curve of Q ; at the odd steps we use a component of type $(2, 1)$ while at the even steps we use a component of type $(1, 2)$. In this way we reduce to a problem about $H^0(Q, (\Omega|_Q)(x'', y''))$ with $y'' = 1$ and $x'' \geq 1$. $\diamond$

Lemma 3.2 *Fix non-negative integers x, y, u, w with $y \leq x$ and $3u \leq h^0(Q, (T\Pi|_Q)(x, y)) \leq 3w$. Let M (resp. N) be the union of u (resp.*

w) general points of Q . Then we have $h^1(Q, (T\Pi|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_M) = h^0(Q, (T\Pi|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_N) = 0$.

Proof. Just copy the proof of Lemma 3.1. For the last inductive step we use that $h^1(Q, (T\Pi|Q)(a, b)) = 0$ for all integers $a \geq -2$ and $b \geq -2$. Now we will consider the case of subsets M, N of Q containing many collinear points. $\diamond$

Lemma 3.3 *Let A, B, C be lines of Π with $\text{card}(A \cap B) = \text{card}(B \cap C) = 1$ and $A \cap C = \emptyset$. Set $T := A \cup B \cup C$. Then $h^0(T, \Omega(1)|T) = 0$.*

Proof. Note that $T \in H(3, 0)$ and that $h^0(A, \Omega(1)|A) = h^0(B, \Omega(1)|B) = h^0(C, \Omega(1)|C) = 0$. Since $A \cup B$ and $B \cup C$ are plane conics, we see that $h^0(A \cup B, \Omega(1)|A \cup B) = h^0(B \cup C, \Omega(1)|B \cup C) = 1$. Hence the general section of $\Omega(1)|A$ and one of the sections of $\Omega(1)|B$ does not glue at the point $A \cup B$, but only one of their sections glues to give a section over $A \cup B$; the same is true for the pair (B, C) . Taking as C a general line intersecting B , we obtain that the unique, up to a multiplicative constant, section of $\Omega(1)|A \cup B$ does not glue with any section of $\Omega(1)|C$ and hence $h^0(T, \Omega(1)|T) = 0$ for general C . Since any two configurations (A, B, C) are projectively equivalent, we obtain the result for any such configuration. $\diamond$

In the same way we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.4 *Let A, B, C be lines of Π with $\text{card}(A \cap B) = \text{card}(B \cap C) = 1$ and $A \cap C = \emptyset$. Set $T := A \cup B \cup C$. Then $h^0(T, T\Pi(-1)|T) = 6$ and $h^0(T, T\Pi(-2)|T) = 0$.*

Definition 3.5 Fix integers a, b, t with $a > 0$ and $0 < t \leq b$ and a smooth quadric Q . A net of type $(a, b; t)$ on Q is given by a distinct lines D_i , $1 \leq i \leq a$, of type $(1, 0)$ on Q , b distinct lines R_j , $1 \leq j \leq b$, of type $(0, 1)$ on Q and by the subset $S \subset Q$ with $\text{card}(S) = (a - 1)b + t$ formed by the points $D_i \cap R_j$ for $1 \leq i < a$, $1 \leq j \leq b$, and the points $D_a \cap R_j$, $1 \leq j \leq t$. Abusing notations, we will often say that S is a net of type $(a, b; t)$.

Lemma 3.6 *Fix integers x, y, a, b, t, u, w with $a > 0$, $0 < t \leq b$, $x \geq 1 + 2[(a + 1)/2]$, $y \geq b + 2[(a - 1)/2] + 1$ and $3((a - 1)b + t) + 3u \leq$*

$h^0(Q, (\Omega|Q)(x, y)) \leq 3((a-1)b+t) + 3w$. Let S be a net of type $(a, b; t)$ on a smooth quadric Q . Let M (resp. N) be the union of S and u (resp. w) general points of Q . Then we have $h^1(Q, (\Omega|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_M) = h^0(Q, (\Omega|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_N) = 0$.

Proof. Fix lines $D_i, 1 \leq i \leq a$, and $R_j, 1 \leq j \leq b$, associated to the net S . Set $A := D_1, C := D_2$ and take a general line B of type $(0, 1)$. Set $T := A \cup B \cup C$. Take finite sets A', C' and B' with $A \cap S \subseteq A' \subset A \setminus (B \cap A)$, $B \cap S \subseteq B' \subset B \setminus (B \cap (A \cup C))$ and $C \cap S \subseteq C' \subset C \setminus (C \cap B)$ with $\text{card}(A') = \text{card}(C') = y - 1$ and $\text{card}(B') = x - 1$. Note that $A' \cup B' \cup C'$ is a Cartier divisor, D , on T . Apply Lemma 3.3 to the twist by $-D$ of $(\Omega|Q)(x, y)|T$. By Horace Lemma 1.3 applied to the Cartier divisor T of Q we reduce to a case with integers $x' := x - 2$, $y' := y - 1$ and a net of type $(a - 2, b; t)$. Then we continue. At the end we apply Lemma 3.1. $\diamond$

In the same way we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.7 *Fix integers x, y, a, b, t, u, w with $a > 0$, $0 < t \leq b$, $x \geq -1 + 2[(a+1)/2]$, $y \geq b + 2[(a-1)/2] - 1$ and $3((a-1)b+t) + 3u \leq h^0(Q, (T\Pi|Q)(x, y)) \leq 3((a-1)b+t) + 3w$. Let S be a net of type $(a, b; t)$ on a smooth quadric Q . Let M (resp. N) be the union of S and u (resp. w) general points of Q . Then we have $h^1(Q, (T\Pi|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_M) = h^0(Q, (T\Pi|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_N) = 0$.*

Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 are sufficient for the inductive step from $m - 2$ to m when the integer m is large. To obtain stronger lemmas we need, with the notations of the proof of Lemma 3.5, to take a different Cartier divisor D on T . We take $y - 2$ points on A , $y - 2$ points on C , $x - 3$ points on B , and the intersection of T with the tangent planes to Q at the two points of $\text{Sing}(T)$. In this way we may reduce to a case with a net of type $(a - 2, b - 1; t - 1)$ if $t \geq 2$, of type $(a - 2, b - 2; b - 3)$ if $t = 1$ and $b \geq 2$, while we have a complete victory if $b = 1$ or $b = 2$ and $t = 1$. With this trick we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.8 *Fix integers x, y, a, b, t, u, w with $a > 0$, $0 < t \leq b$, $x \geq 1 + 2[(a+1)/2]$, $y \geq b + 1$ and $3((a-1)b+t) + 3u \leq h^0(Q, (\Omega|Q)(x, y)) \leq 3((a-1)b+t) + 3w$. Let S be a net of type $(a, b; t)$ on a smooth quadric Q . Let M (resp. N) be the union of S and u (resp. w) general points of Q . Then we have $h^1(Q, (\Omega|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_M) = h^0(Q, (\Omega|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_N) = 0$.*

Lemma 3.9 *Fix integers x, y, a, b, t, u, w with $a > 0, 0 < t \leq b, x \geq -1 + 2[(a+1)/2], y \geq b-1$ and $3((a-1)b+t) + 3u \leq h^0(Q, (T\Pi|Q)(x, y)) \leq 3((a-1)b+t) + 3w$. Let S be a net of type $(a, b; t)$ on a smooth quadric Q . Let M (resp. N) be the union of S and u (resp. w) general points of Q . Then we have $h^1(Q, (T\Pi|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_M) = h^0(Q, (T\Pi|Q)(x, y) \otimes I_N) = 0$.*

4 The inductive assumptions

We will try to copy [BE1] with a few essential modifications. In this section will state and prove (modulo the numerical lemmas given in section 2 and the starting cases given in section 6) two inductive hypotheses $HO(m)$ and $RO(m, g)$, $m \geq 2, g \geq 0$, related to Ω and two inductive hypothesis $HT(m)$ and $RT(m, g)$ related to $T\Pi$. In section 5 we will show how to use this work to prove Theorem 0.1. In section 7 we will use both sections for the proof of Theorem 0.3. The assertions $HO(m)$ and $HT(m)$ are related to curves of genus γ and degree $\gamma+3$ for some γ and with critical value m . The assertions $RO(m, g)$ and $RT(m, g)$ are related to curves of a fixed genus g and degree $\geq g+3$ with critical value m . The definition of assertion $HO(m)$ (resp. $RO(m, g)$) will depend on the size of $\alpha(m)^*$ and $\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^*$ (resp. $a(m, g)^*$ and $d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^*$).

4.1 We define the following assertion $HO(m), m \geq 0$, distinguishing two cases according to the value of $\alpha(m)^*$:

$HO(m), m \geq 2, 0 \leq \alpha(m)^* \leq \delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* - 2$: There exists (Y, Q, D, D', S) such that:

1. $Y \in H(\delta(m)^*, \delta(m)^* - 3)$;
2. Q is a smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y ; D and D' are lines of type $(1, 0)$ on Q which are 2-secant to Y ; $S \subset D \setminus (D \cap Y)$, $\text{card}(S) = \alpha(m)^*$; for every $P \in S$ the line $R(P)$ of type $(0, 1)$ on Q containing P intersects Y but not $Y \cap D'$;
3. we have $h^1(\mathbf{P}^3, \Omega(m+1) \otimes I_{Y \cup S}) = 0$.

$HO(m), m \geq 2, w(\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* - w - 2) \leq \alpha(m)^* \leq (w+1)(\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* - w - 4)$ for some integer w with $1 \leq w \leq 18$: There exists $(Y, Q, D, D', R(j)(1 \leq j \leq w+2), D(k)(1 \leq k \leq \delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* - w - 4), S(i)(1 \leq i \leq w+1))$ such that:

1. $Y \in H(\delta(m)^*, \delta(m)^* - 3)$;
2. Q is a smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y ; D and D' are lines of type $(1, 0)$ on Q which are 2-secant to Y ; $R(j), 1 \leq j \leq w + 3$, are lines of type $(0, 1)$ on Q with $R(j) \cap Y = \emptyset$ for every j ; $D(k), 1 \leq k \leq d(m+2)^* - d(m)^* - w - 4$ are lines of type $(1, 0)$ on Q with $D(k) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $1 \leq k \leq \alpha(m)^* - w(\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* - w - 4)$; we have $S(i) \subset R(i), 1 \leq i \leq w + 1, \text{card}(S(i)) = \delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* - w - 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq w, \text{card}(S(w+1)) = \alpha(m)^* - w(\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* - w - 4)$ and for every $P \in S(w+1)$ the line $D(P)$ of type $(1, 0)$ containing P intersects Y but not $Y \cap (D \cup D')$;
3. set $S := \cup_{1 \leq i \leq w+1} S(i)$; we have $h^1(\mathbf{P}^3, \Omega(m+1) \otimes I_{Y \cup S}) = 0$.

In the definition of $HO(m)$ we impose that there is no coincidence or meeting relation except the prescribed ones; for instance we impose that $Y \cap S(i) = \emptyset$ for every i and, with the notations of condition (2) for $HO(m)$, the lines $D(P)$ or $R(P)$ are not 2-secant to Y , while the line $D(A), A \in S(1)$, does not intersect Y unless $D(A) = D(P)$ for some $P \in S(w+1)$. Note that condition (3) in $HO(m)$ is equivalent to the bijectivity of the restriction map $r_{Y \cup S, \Omega(m+1)}$. Call $HO(m)''$ the existence of a pair (Y, S) with $Y \in H(\delta(m)^*, \delta(m)^* - 3), \text{card}(S) = \alpha(m)^*$ and $r_{Y \cup S, \Omega(m+1)}$ bijective.

4.2 In a similar way we define the following assertion $HT(m), m \geq 2$, which is related to the last part of the minimal free resolution, i.e. the part controlled by $T\Pi$. Again, we distinguish two cases according to the value of $\alpha'(m)^*$:

$HT(m), m \geq 2, 0 \leq \alpha'(m)^* \leq \delta'(m+2)^* - \delta'(m)^* - 2$: There exists (Y, Q, D, D', S) such that:

1. $Y \in H(\delta'(m)^*, \delta'(m)^* - 3)$;
2. Q is a smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y ; D and D' are lines of type $(1, 0)$ on Q which are 2-secant to Y ; $S \subset D \setminus (D \cap Y), \text{card}(S) = \alpha'(m)^*$; for every $P \in S$ the line $R(P)$ of type $(0, 1)$ on Q containing P intersects Y but not $Y \cap D'$;
3. we have $h^1(\mathbf{P}^3, T\Pi(m-2) \otimes I_{Y \cup S}) = 0$.

$HT(m)$, $m \geq 2$, $w(\delta'(m+2)^* - \delta'(m)^* - w - 2) \leq \alpha'(m)^* \leq (w+1)(\delta'(m+2)^* - \delta'(m)^* - w - 4)$ for some integer w with $1 \leq w \leq 18$: There exists $(Y, Q, D, D', R(j)(1 \leq j \leq w+2), D(k)(1 \leq k \leq \delta'(m+2)^* - \delta'(m)^* - w - 4), S(i)(1 \leq i \leq w+1))$ such that:

1. $Y \in H(\delta'(m)^*, \delta'(m)^* - 3)$;
2. Q is a smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y ; D and D' are lines of type $(1, 0)$ on Q which are 2-secant to Y ; $R(j)$, $1 \leq j \leq w+3$, are lines of type $(0, 1)$ on Q with $R(j) \cap Y = \emptyset$ for every j ; $D(k)$, $1 \leq k \leq \delta'(m+2)^* - \delta'(m)^* - w - 4$ are lines of type $(1, 0)$ on Q with $D(k) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $1 \leq k \leq \alpha'(m)^* - w(\delta'(m+2)^* - \delta'(m)^* - w - 4)$; we have $S(i) \subset R(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq w+1$, $\text{card}(S(i)) = \delta'(m+2)^* - \delta'(m)^* - w - 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq w$, $\text{card}(S(w+1)) = \alpha'(m)^* - w(\delta'(m+2)^* - \delta'(m)^* - w - 4)$ and for every $P \in S(w+1)$ the line $D(P)$ of type $(1, 0)$ containing P intersects Y but not $Y \cap (D \cup D')$;
3. set $S := \cup_{1 \leq i \leq w+1} S(i)$; we have $h^1(\mathbf{P}^3, T\Pi(m-2) \otimes I_{Y \cup S}) = 0$.

In the definition of $HT(m)$ we impose that there is no coincidence or meeting relation except the prescribed ones; for instance we impose that $Y \cap S(i) = \emptyset$ for every i and, with the notations of condition (2) for $HT(m)$, the lines $D(P)$ or $R(P)$ are not 2-secant to Y , while the line $D(A)$, $A \in S(1)$, does not intersect Y unless $D(A) = D(P)$ for some $P \in S(w+1)$. Note that condition (3) in $HO(m)$ is equivalent to the bijectivity of the restriction map $r_{Y \cup S, T\Pi(m-2)}$. Call $HO(m)''$ the existence of a pair (Y, S) with $Y \in H(\delta'(m)^*, \delta'(m)^* - 3)$, $\text{card}(S) = \alpha'(m)^*$ and $r_{Y \cup S, T\Pi(m-2)}$ bijective.

4.3 For all integers $g \geq 0$ and $m \geq \max\{m_0(g) - 2, 70\}$ we define the assertion $RO(m, g)$ in the following way.

$RO(m, g)$, $g \geq 0$, $m \geq \max\{m_0(g) - 2, 70\}$, $z(d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1) \leq \alpha(m, g)^* \leq (z+1)(d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1)$ for some integer z with $0 \leq z < d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z$: There exists $(Y, Q, D(i)(1 \leq i \leq z+1), R(j)(1 \leq j \leq d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1), S(i)(1 \leq i \leq z+1))$ such that:

1. $Y \in H(d(m, g)^*, g)$;

2. Q is a smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y ; $D(i), 1 \leq i \leq z+1$, are lines of type $(1, 0)$ on Q which are 1-secant to Y ; $R(j), 1 \leq j \leq d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1$ are lines of type $(0, 1)$ on Q with $R(j) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $j > z(d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1)$ (and in this case 1-secant to Y and not intersecting any $D(i) \cap Y$); $S(i) \subset D(i)$ for every i ; $\text{card}(S(i)) = d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq z$; $\text{card}(S(z+1)) = a(m, g)^* - z(d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1)$; we have $S(i) = D(i) \cap R(j), 1 \leq j \leq d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq z$ and $S(z+1) = \{D(z+1) \cap R(j), z(d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1) < j \leq (z+1)(d(m+2, g)^* - d(m, g)^* - z - 1)\}$;

3. set $S := \cup_{1 \leq i \leq z+1} S(i)$; we have $h^1(\mathbf{P}^3, \Omega(m+1) \otimes I_{Y \cup S}) = 0$.

Note that condition (3) in the $RO(m, g)$ is equivalent to the bijectivity of the restriction map $r_{Y \cup S, \Omega(m+1)}$. Call $RO(m, g)$ "the existence of a pair (Y, S) with $Y \in H(d(m, g)^*, g)$, $\text{card}(S) = a(m, g)^*$ and $r_{Y \cup S, \Omega(m+1)}$ bijective.

4.4 In the same way we define the corresponding assertion $RT(m, g)$ for the last part of the minimal free resolution, i.e. the part controlled by $T\Pi$. For all integers $m \geq \max\{m_0(g) - 2, 70\}$, $g \geq 0$ we define the assertion $RT(m, g)$ in the following way. $RT(m, g), m \geq \max\{m_0(g) - 2, 70\}, g \geq 0, z(d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1) \leq a'(m, g)^* \leq (z+1)(d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1)$ for some integer z with $0 \leq z < d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z$: There exists $(Y, Q, D(i)(1 \leq i \leq z+1), R(j)(1 \leq j \leq d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1), S(i)(1 \leq i \leq z+1))$ such that:

1. $Y \in H(d'(m, g)^*, g)$;
2. Q is a smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y ; $D(i), 1 \leq i \leq z+1$, are lines of type $(1, 0)$ on Q which are 1-secant to Y ; $R(j), 1 \leq j \leq d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1$ are lines of type $(0, 1)$ on Q with $R(j) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $j > z(d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1)$ (and in this case 1-secant to Y and not intersecting any $D(i) \cap Y$); $S(i) \subset D(i)$ for every i ; $\text{card}(S(i)) = d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq z$; $\text{card}(S(z+1)) = a'(m, g)^* - z(d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1)$; we have $S(i) = D(i) \cap R(j), 1 \leq j \leq d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq z$ and $S(z+1) = \{D(z+1) \cap R(j), z(d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1) < j \leq (z+1)(d'(m+2, g)^* - d'(m, g)^* - z - 1)\}$;

3. set $S := \cup_{1 \leq i \leq z+1} S(i)$; we have $h^1(\mathbf{P}^3, T\Pi(m-2) \otimes I_{Y \cup S}) = 0$.

Note that condition (3) in the $RT(m, g)$ is equivalent to the bijectivity of the restriction map $r_{Y \cup S, T\Pi(m-2)}$. Call $RT(m, g)''$ the existence of a pair (Y, S) with $Y \in H(d'(m, g)^*, g)$, $\text{card}(S) = d'(m, g)^*$ and $r_{Y \cup S, T\Pi(m-2)}$ bijective.

Lemma 4.5 *For every integer $m \geq 51$ $HO(m-2)$ implies $HO(m)$.*

Proof. First, we will check $HO(m)''$. We distinguish two cases, according to the value of $\alpha(m-2)^*$.

- $0 \leq \alpha(m-2)^* \leq d(m)^* - d(m-2)^* - 2$. Take (Y, Q, D, D', S) satisfying $HO(m-2)$. Let X be the union of $Y, D, D', d(m)^* - d(m-2)^* - 2$ lines of type $(0, 1)$ containing the lines $D(P)$ with $P \in S$, and the nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S$. Note that $\text{Res}_Q(X) = Y \cup S$. Hence by Horace Lemma 1.3 and $HO(m-2)$ to check the bijectivity of $r_{X \cup S'', \Omega(m+1)}$ for a suitable $S'' \subset Q$ with $\text{card}(S'') = \alpha(m)^*$, it is sufficient to check that, calling S' the subset of $Y \cap Q$ not on any line $D, D', D(k), 1 \leq k \leq d(m)^* - d(m-2)^* - w - 4$, or $R(j), 1 \leq j \leq w+2$, we have $h^0(Q, (\Omega|Q)(m-1, m+3+d(m-2)^* - d(m)^*) \otimes I_{S'' \cup S'}) = h^1(Q, (\Omega|Q)(m-1, m+3+d(m-2)^* - d(m)^*) \otimes I_{S'' \cup S'}) = 0$. This is true by Lemma 3.5 and the equality $h^0(Q, (\Omega|Q)(m-1, m+3+d(m-2)^* - d(m)^*)) = 3(\text{card}(S') + \alpha(m)^*)$ which follows subtracting eq. 11 for m and for $m-2$ and from the equalities $3\alpha(x)^* - 3\alpha(x) - b(x) = (3m-1)(d(x) - d(x)^*), x = m, m-2$ (eq. 15).
- there is an integer w with $1 \leq w \leq 20$ and $w(d(m)^* - d(m-2)^* - w - 2) \leq \alpha(m-2)^* \leq (w+1)(d(m)^* - d(m-2)^* - w - 4)$. Take $(Y, Q, D, D', R(j)(1 \leq j \leq w+2), D(k)(1 \leq k \leq d(m)^* - d(m-2)^* - w - 4), S(i)(1 \leq i \leq w+1))$ satisfying $HO(m-2)$. Let X be the union of Y, D, D' , the lines $R(j), 1 \leq j \leq w+2$, the lines $D(k), 1 \leq k \leq d(m)^* - d(m-2)^* - w - 4$, and the nilpotents $\chi(P)$ with $P \in S := \cup_{1 \leq i \leq w+1} S(i)$. We have $X \in H(d(m+2)^*, d(m+2)^* - 3)$ by 1.4. Note that $\text{Res}_Q(X) = Y \cup S$. Hence by Horace Lemma 1.3 and $HO(m-2)$ to check the bijectivity of $r_{X \cup S'', \Omega(m+1)}$ for a suitable $S'' \subset Q$ with $\text{card}(S'') = \alpha(m)^*$, it is sufficient to check that, calling S' the subset of $Y \cap Q$ not on any line $D, D', D(k), 1 \leq$

$k \leq d(m)^* - d(m-2)^* - w - 4$, or $R(j)$, $1 \leq j \leq w+2$, we have $h^0(Q, (\Omega|Q)(m-w-3, m+w+4+d(m-2)^* - d(m)^*) \otimes I_{S'' \cup S'}) = h^1(Q, (\Omega|Q)(m-w-3, m+w+4+d(m-2)^* - d(m)^*) \otimes I_{S'' \cup S'}) = 0$. This is true by Lemma 3.5 and the equality $h^0(Q, (\Omega|Q)(m-w-3, m+w+4+d(m-2)^* - d(m)^*)) = 3(\text{card}(S') + \alpha(m)^*)$ which follows from eq. 11 and 15 for $m-2$ and m as in case (i).

Note that we may deform X to a reducible and reduced curve $X' \in H(d(m)^*, d(m)^*-3)$ containing Y and transversal to Q . Now to prove $HO(m)$ we need to check that in both cases (i) and (ii) for $HO(m-2)$ and in both cases a priori possible for $HO(m)$ according to the value of $a(m)^*$ we may take a subset S'' (notations of cases (i) and (ii)) satisfying the condition (2) of $HO(m)$. We use Lemma 3.5 and that we may deform 2-secant lines very easily; much more than needed is contained in [BE1], §8 (see in particular [BE1], Lemma 8.2). $\diamond$

For every integer $g \geq 0$, let $m_o(g)$ be the critical value of the pair $(g+3, g)$.

Remark 4.6 By Lemmas 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11 and 2.13 if $m \geq \max\{m_o(g) - 2, 70\}$ we may take $z \leq 20$ in the definitions of $RO(m, g)$ and $RT(m, g)$.

Lemma 4.7 *For every integer $g \geq 0$ and every integer $m \geq \max\{m_o(g) - 2, 70\}$ $RO(m-2, g)$ implies $RO(m, g)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.8 there is a minimal integer z with $0 \leq z \leq 19$ such that $z(d(m, g)^* - d(m-2, g)^* - z - 1) \leq a(m-2, g)^* \leq (z+1)(d(m, g)^* - d(m-2, g)^* - z - 1)$. Take $(Y, Q, D(i) (1 \leq i \leq z+1), R(j) (1 \leq j \leq d(m, g)^* - d(m-2, g)^* - z - 1), S(i) (1 \leq i \leq z+1))$ satisfying $RO(m-2, g)$. Let X be the union of $Y, D(i), 1 \leq i \leq z+1, R(j), 1 \leq j \leq d(m, g)^* - d(m-2, g)^* - z - 1$ and the nilpotents $c(P)$ with $P \in \cup 1 \leq i \leq z+1 S(i)$. A trivial modification of the proof of Lemma 4.5 concludes the proof. $\diamond$

Lemma 4.8 *If $m_o(g) \geq 70$, $HO(m_o(g) - 1)$ implies $RO(m_o(g) + 1, g)$.*

Proof. As in the proofs of Lemmas 4.5 and 4.7 we will check $RO(m_o(g) + 1, g)$. By Lemma 2.11 we have $a(m-2)^* \leq 20(d(m, g)^* - d(m-2)^* - 23)$. Hence we may make the construction of Lemma 4.5 using $d(m, g)^* - d(m-2)^*$ instead of $d(m, g)^* - d(m, g)^*$. $\diamond$

Lemma 4.9 *If $m_o(g) \geq 70$, $HO(m_o(g) - 2)$ implies $RO(m_o(g), g)$.*

Proof. Just copy the proof of Lemma 4.8. $\diamond$

In the same way we obtain the following results for TII .

Lemma 4.10 *For every integer $m \geq 51$ $HT(m - 2)$ implies $HT(m)$.*

Lemma 4.11 *For every integer $g \geq 0$ and every integer $m \geq \max\{m_o(g) - 2, 70\}$ $RT(m - 2, g)$ implies $RT(m, g)$.*

Lemma 4.12 *If $m_o(g) \geq 70$, $HT(m_o(g) - 1)$ implies $RT(m_o(g) + 1, g)$.*

Lemma 4.13 *If $m_o(g) \geq 70$, $HT(m_o(g) - 2)$ implies $RT(m_o(g), g)$.*

5 The last part of the proof of 0.1

In this section we will conclude the proof of Theorem 0.1 modulo the initial cases with critical value ≤ 50 which will be done in section 6. We start with a pair (d, g) with $d \geq g + 3 \geq 3$ and $g \geq \delta(70)'' - 3$. Hence the pair (d, g) has critical value $m \geq 70$. We assume that $RO(m - 2, g)$ is true and obtain the result for Ω . In the same way assuming $RT(m - 2, g)$ we will get the result for TII . First assume $d = d(m, g)^*$. By Lemma 4.7 a general $Y \in H(d, g)$ has $r_{Y, \Omega(m+1)}$ surjective. Now assume $d < d(m, g)^*$. The proofs of Lemmas 4.5 and 4.7 gave a reducible and in general not reducible curve $Y \in H(d(m, g)^*, g)$ with $r_{Y, \Omega(m+1)}$ surjective. By 1.4 the curve Y obtained in this way has a flat deformation to a family of curves whose general element is a reducible but reduced curve $Y'' \in H(d(m, g)^*, g)$ such that there is a reducible $Y' \subset Y''$ with $Y' \in H(d, g)$. By semicontinuity we may assume $r_{Y'', \Omega(m+1)}$ surjective. It is easy to see that this implies the surjectivity of $r_{Y', \Omega(m+1)}$. Alternatively, for large m (e.g. if $20(d - d(m - 2, g)^* - 22) \geq a(m - 2, g)^*$ (case $m \geq m_o(g) + 2$) or $20(d - \delta(m - 2)^* - 22) \geq \alpha(m - 2, g)^*$ (case $m = m_o(g)$ or $m = m_o(g) + 1$) or if $(3m - 4)(d(m, g) - d) - 3a(m, g) \geq a(m - 2, g)^*$) the proofs of Lemmas 4.5, 4.7 and 4.8 give the existence of a suitable curve $Z \subseteq Y''$ with $r_{Z, \Omega(m+1)}$ surjective. Now assume $d(m, g)^* < d \leq d(m, g)$. Now assume $d > d(m, g)$. Since $20(d - d(m - 2, g)^* - 22) \geq a(m - 2, g)^*$ (case

$m \geq m_o(g) + 2$ or $20(d - \delta(m - 2)^* - 22) \geq \alpha(m - 2, g)^*$ (case $m = m_o(g)$ or $m = m_o(g) + 1$) it is easy to modify the proofs of Lemmas 4.5, 4.7 and 4.8 to obtain a reducible and in general (i.e. if $a(m - 2, g)^* \neq 0$) unreduced curve $Y \in H(d, g)$ with $r_{Y, \Omega(m+1)}$ injective; in the smooth quadric Q we add $d - d(m - 2, g)^*$ (case $m \geq m_o(g) + 2$) or $4d - \delta(m - 2)^*$ (case $m = m_o(g)$ or $m = m_o(g) + 1$) suitable lines, no point, but add again $a(m - 2, g)^*$ or $\alpha(m - 2)^*$ suitable nilpotents. The proofs just sketched give that a general $X \in H(d, g)$ has $r_{X, T\Pi(m-2)}$ with maximal rank. Hence a general $X \in H(d, g)$ has the expected minimal free resolution, concluding the proof of Theorem 0.1 (modulo to start the inductive machine).

Remark 5.1 The proof shows that the statement of Theorem 0.1 is true for all pairs (d, g) with critical value $m \geq 70$. Hence in Theorem 0.1 we may take $g_0 := \delta(70)^* - 3 = 899$.

6 Starting the inductive machine

In this section we will construct the subschemes of Π needed to start the inductive machine given in section 4 for Theorem 0.1. We will do the initial cases for Theorem 0.2 at the end of the paper, i.e. in section 8. Unless otherwise stated, Q and Q' will be smooth quadrics. If the integers $\alpha(m - 2)^*$, $\alpha(m)^*$, $\alpha'(m - 2)^*$ and $\alpha'(m)^*$ are "not bad" the following lemmas 6.1,..., 6.6 give the inductive step. In particular, these integers are "not bad" if m is large by 2.6 and 2.7, but they are "not bad" also in a few cases with m very low.

6.1 Fix an integer $m \geq 4$. Assume $\alpha(m - 2)^* \leq \alpha(m)^* \leq \alpha(m - 2)^* + 2$ if $\alpha(m - 2)^* \leq \delta(m)^* - \delta(m - 2)^* - 2$ and $\alpha(m - 2)^* \leq \alpha(m)^* \leq \alpha(m - 2)^* + 2w + 2$ if in the definition of $HO(m - 2)$ we introduced the integer $w > 0$. Assume $HO(m - 2)$. Then, modulo the intersection with the quadric, i.e. modulo section 3, we will prove $HO(m)$ in the following way. We fix data $(Y, Q, ***, S'), \text{card}(S') := \alpha(m - 2)^*$, satisfying $HO(m - 2)$. To obtain $HO(m)$ we apply Horace Lemma 1.3 with respect to a general quadric Q' intersecting transversally both Y and the lines of Q appearing in $(Y, Q, ***)$. Hence each of these lines intersects Q' in two points and we insert one or two or none points of intersection in such a way that the total number of added points is $\alpha(m)^* - \alpha(m - 2)^*$. Furthermore, we add in Q' $\delta(m +$

$2)^* - \delta(m)^*$ suitable lines. The union $X \cup S$ of these lines and points with the configuration $Y \cup S'$ satisfies $HO(m)$. In the same way we obtain the following result.

6.2 Fix an integer $m \geq 4$. Assume $\alpha'(m-2)^* \leq \alpha'(m)^* \leq \alpha'(m-2)^* + 2$ if $\alpha'(m-2)^* \leq \delta'(m)^* - \delta'(m-2)^* - 2$ and $\alpha'(m-2)^* \leq \alpha'(m)^* \leq \alpha'(m-2)^* + 2w + 2$ if in the definition of $HT(m-2)$ we introduced the integer $w > 0$. Assume $HT(m-2)$. Then $HT(m)$ we can prove $HT(m)$ if the intersection with a quadric gives no problem. To apply the inductive procedure we need $HO(m)$ and $HT(m)$, not just $HO(m)$ or $HT(m)$. Here we will see when we may modify the proofs of 6.1 and 6.2 to obtain $HO(m)$ and $HT(m)$.

6.3 Fix an integer $m \geq 4$. Assume $\alpha(m-2)^* \leq \alpha(m)^* \leq \alpha(m-2)^* + 2$, $\alpha(m-2)^* \leq \delta(m)^* - \delta(m-2)^* - 2$ and $HO(m-2)$. Then, modulo the intersection with the quadric, we prove $HO(m)$ in the following way. To check that the configuration, S , of $\alpha(m)^*$ points constructed in Q with $\text{card}(Q' \cap S) = \alpha(m)^* - \alpha(m-2)^*$ is allowable for $HO(m)$ it is sufficient to check that $\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* \geq \delta(m)^* - \delta(m-2)^* + 2$. In the same way we obtain the corresponding result for $T\Pi$.

6.4 Fix an integer $m \geq 4$. Assume $\alpha'(m-2)^* \leq \alpha'(m)^* \leq \alpha'(m-2)^* + 2$, $\alpha'(m-2)^* \leq \delta'(m)^* - \delta'(m-2)^* - 2$ and $HT(m-2)$. Then, modulo the intersection with the quadric, we can prove $HT(m)$.

6.5 Fix an integer $m \geq 4$. Assume $\alpha(m)^* \leq \alpha(m-2)^* \leq \alpha(m)^* + 2$, $\alpha(m-2)^* \leq \delta(m)^* - \delta(m-2)^* - 2$ and $HO(m-2)$. Then, modulo the intersection with the quadric, we can prove $HO(m)$ in the following way. Take a solution (Y, Q, D, D', S) of $HO(m-2)$ and a general quadric Q' containing $\alpha(m-2)^* - \alpha(m)^*$ of the points of S . In Q' we may add a configuration, T , of lines and the nilpotents $\chi(P)$, $P \in Q' \cap S$, so that the corresponding curve X is in $H(\delta(m)^*, \delta(m)^* - 3)$ and that for a suitable deformation X'' of X ($X'', Q, D, D', S \setminus (Q' \cap S)$) satisfies $HO(m)$; here we use that $\delta(m+2)^* - \delta(m)^* \geq \delta(m)^* - \delta(m-2)^*$ which is true (see the proof of 6.3). $\diamond$

In the same way we obtain the corresponding result for $T\Pi$.

6.6 Fix an integer $m \geq 4$. Assume $\alpha'(m)^* \leq \alpha'(m-2)^* \leq \alpha'(m)^* + 2$, $\alpha'(m-2)^* \leq \delta'(m)^* - \delta'(m-2)^* - 2$ and $HT(m-2)$. Then, modulo the intersection with the quadric, we can prove $HT(m)$.

Now we will use the constructions outlined in 6.1, ..., 6.6 to check most of the initial inductive cases needed to start the proof of 0.1. In a few cases we will needed more work, just for numerical reasons.

6.7 $HO(2)$ is true because any degree 4 elliptic curve $X \subset \Pi$ is the complete intersection of 2 quadrics and hence its homogeneous ideal is generated by 2 quadrics.

We define the following assertions.

O{3}: There exist a smooth quadric Q and a union Z of 15 points of Π with $\text{card}(Z \cap Q) = 10$ and $h^0(\Omega(5) \otimes I_Z) = h^1(\Omega(5) \otimes I_Z) = 0$.

O{4}: There exist a smooth quadric Q and the union $Z \subset \Pi$ of 28 points with $\text{card}(Z \cap Q) = 16$, $h^0(\Omega(5) \otimes I_Z) = h^1(\Omega(5) \otimes I_Z) = 0$ and such that $Z \cap Q$ is given by the following configuration. In Q we take 3 general smooth curves E, E', E'' of type $(2, 1)$ and 3 general lines F, F', F'' of type $(0, 1)$. Let $Z \cap Q$ be the union of $E' \cap E''$ and $(E' \cup E'') \cap (F \cup F' \cup F'')$.

Remark 6.8 The proof given in [B] for a general $Z \subset \Pi$ with $\text{card}(Z) = 15$ gives **O{3}**.

Remark 6.9 The proof of the existence of a union $Z \subset \Pi$ of 28 points such that $h^0(\Omega(5) \otimes I_Z) = h^1(\Omega(5) \otimes I_Z) = 0$ given in [H2] proves **O{4}**.

Lemma 6.10 $HO(6)$ is true.

Proof. We start with a pair (Q, Z) satisfying **O{4}**. In Q we take 3 smooth curves E, E', E'' of type $(2, 1)$ and 3 general lines F, F', F'' of type $(0, 1)$ such that $Q \cap Z$ is the union of $E' \cap E''$ and $(E' \cup E'') \cap (F \cup F' \cup F'')$. Set $S := Z \setminus (Q \cap Z)$. Let X be the union of E, E', E'', F, F', F'' and the nilpotents $\chi(P)$ with $P \in A$. We have $X \in H(12, 9)$ by 1.4. Since $\text{Res}_Q(X \cup S) = Z$, by Horace Lemma 1.3 and **O{4}** we obtain that (X, S) satisfies $HO(6)''$. Since $\alpha(6)^* = 2$ is very small, $HO(6)''$ implies $HO(6)$. $\diamond$

Lemma 6.11 $HO(5)$ is true.

Proof. Take (Q, Z) satisfying $O\{3\}$ (Remark 6.2). Take a general curve U of type $(2, 3)$ on Q and a general curve F of type $(3, 2)$ on Q . By the generality of U and F we may assume $Z \cap Q \subseteq U \cap F$. Set $Y := U \cup F$. Let X be the union of Y and the nilpotents $\chi(P)$ with $P \in Z \cap Q$. Set $S := Z \setminus (Z \cap Q)$. Since $Res_Q(X \cup S) = Z$, by Horace Lemma 1.3 and $O\{3\}$ we obtain $h^0(\Omega(6) \otimes I_{X \cup S}) = h^1(\Omega(6) \otimes I_{X \cup S}) = 0$. Note that $Z \setminus (Z \cap Q)$ is contained in a smooth quadric Q' . Furthermore, since $\delta(7)^* = 15 = \delta(6)^* + \alpha(6)^* + 3$, we may easily find Z and Q' with Z satisfying $O\{3\}$ and such that there are lines D, D' on Q with $(X, Q', D, D', Z \setminus (Z \cap Q))$ satisfying $HO(5)$; indeed it is sufficient to take Q' containing one 2-secant line to X and intersecting transversally X . $\diamond$

Lemma 6.12 $HO(7)$ is true.

Proof. Since $\alpha(5)^* = 6, \delta(5)^* = 10$ and $\delta(7)^* = 16$, the proof of Lemma 4.5 gives the existence of a pair (X, S) satisfying $HO(7)''$. We have $\delta(9)^* = \delta(7)^* + 24$ and $\alpha(7)^* = 16$. Hence the same proof gives $HO(7)$ with respect to the integer $w = 2$ if we take a smooth quadric containing two lines of type $(1, 0)$ and one line of type $(0, 1)$ which are 2-secant to X . $\diamond$

Remark 6.13 Since $\delta(11)^* = 33, \delta(9)^* = 24, \delta(7)^* = 15$ and $\alpha(9)^* = 10 \leq \delta(11)^* - \delta(9)^* - 2$, the general proof that $HO(m-2)$ implies $H(m)$ for large m (Lemma 4.5) proves $HO(9)$.

6.14 $HO(8)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(6)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(8)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, both 2-secant to Y , and 2 lines of type $(0, 1)$, both 1-secant to Y , plus 2 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 12 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(6, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega Q)(7, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.6 for the integers $x = y = 7, a = 2, b = 6$ and $t = 6$; note that $12 = (a - 1)b + t$.

6.15 $HO(10)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(8)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(10)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 5

lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 12 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 20 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(7, 4)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 4 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(6, 5)$ we use Lemma 3.6 for the integers $x = 6, y = 7, a = 6, b = 4$ and $t = 2$; note that $20 = (a - 1)b + t$ and that t is the number of 2-secant lines added in the next inductive step 6.16.

6.16 $HO(12)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(12)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(12)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 7 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 20 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T ; among the lines of T 3 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and all the other lines are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(9, 6)$ we use Lemma 3.1.

6.17 $HO(14)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(12)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(14)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 6 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 20 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 10 points on a configuration of lines of type $(8, 3)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 5 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(10, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.6 for the integers $x = 9, y = 10, a = 2, b = 7$ and $t = 3$.

6.18 $HO(16)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(14)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(16)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 8 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 10 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 28 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(11, 4)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other line of type $(1, 0)$ is 1-secant to Y and 5 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(14, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.6 for the integers $x = 9, y = 14, a = 3, b = 11$ and $t = 6$.

6.19 $HO(18)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(16)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(18)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 11 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 28 nilpotents at suitable singular points of $Y \cup T$ and 6 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(15, 3)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 8 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(15, 8)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.20 $HO(20)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(18)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(20)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 11 lines of type $(0, 1)$ and 40 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(12, 5)$; among the lines of T , the 2 ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(19, 10)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.21 $HO(22)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(20)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(22)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 12 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 40 nilpotents at suitable singular points of $Y \cup T$ and 26 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(15, 3)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 7 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(18, 12)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.22 $HO(24)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(22)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(24)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 15 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 26 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 16 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(17, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 10 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(22, 10)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.23 $HO(26)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(24)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(26)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 17 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 16 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 12 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(18, 2)$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 16 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Lemma 3.6 and Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(25, 10)$.

6.24 $HO(28)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(26)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(28)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 18 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 12 nilpotents at suitable singular points of $Y \cup T$ and 16 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(19, 2)$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 12 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Lemma 3.6 and Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(27, 9)$.

6.25 $HO(30)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(28)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(30)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 19 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 16 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 30 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(19, 3)$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 16 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Lemma 3.6 and Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(29, 12)$.

6.26 $HO(32)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(30)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(32)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 19 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 30 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 56 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(19, 4)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other line of type $(1, 0)$ is 1-secant to Y and 12 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Lemma 3.6 and Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(30, 16)$.

6.27 $HO(34)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(32)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(34)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 19 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 56 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 96 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(21, 6)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 16 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Lemma 3.6 and Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(31, 16)$.

6.28 $HO(36)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(34)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(36)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 21 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 96 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 48 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(25, 3)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 16 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Lemma 3.6 and Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(31, 16)$.

6.29 $HO(38)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(36)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(38)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 25 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 48 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 6 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(24, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other line of type $(1, 0)$ is 1-secant to Y and 24 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Lemma 3.6 and Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(36, 14)$.

6.30 $HO(40)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(38)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(40)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 24 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 6 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 88 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(25, 5)$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 6 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Lemma 3.6 and Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(36, 16)$.

6.31 $HO(42)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(40)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(42)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 25 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 88 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 70 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(28, 4)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 16 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(38, 18)$.

6.32 $HO(44)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(42)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(44)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 28 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 70 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 64 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(27, 4)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 16 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(41, 17)$.

6.33 $HO(46)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(44)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(46)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 27 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 64 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 72 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 4)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 12 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(43, 20)$.

6.34 $HO(48)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(46)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(48)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 72 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 96 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 5)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 16 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(45, 20)$.

6.35 $HO(50)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(48)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(50)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 96 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 142 suitable points; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 12 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . We apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(46, 22)$.

6.36 We define the following assertion $HO(7)'$. $HO(7)'$: There exists $(Y, Q', D, D', D'', S, S', S'')$ with $Y \in H(15, 12)$, Q' smooth quadric intersecting transversally YD, D' and D'' lines of type $(0, 1)$ on Q' , each of them 2-secant to Y , $S \subset D, S' \subset D, S'' \subset D''$, with $\text{card}(S) = \text{card}(S') = 6, \text{card}(S'') = 4$ such that $h^0(\Omega(8) \otimes I_{Y \cup S \cup S' \cup S''}) = h^1(\Omega(8) \otimes I_{Y \cup S \cup S' \cup S''}) = 0$, and there are 6 lines $R_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, of type $(1, 0)$ on Q' with R_i 1-secant to Y for every i , $R_i \cap S' \neq \emptyset$ for every i , $R_j \cap S'' \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

6.37 $HO(7)'$ is true: Just take a solution $(Y', Q', **)$ of $HO(5)$ and copy the proof of $HO(7)$ given in 6.12 using the quadric Q' .

6.38 $HO(9)$ is true: Take $(Y, Q', D, **, S, **)$ satisfying $HO(7)'$. Take a smooth quadric $Q \neq Q'$ containing D , say as a line of type $(1, 0)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(9)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, one of them being D , and 7 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 6 nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and the 6 lines of type $(0, 1)$ intersecting S are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(8, 3)$ we use Lemma 3.1

6.39 $HO(11)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(9)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(11)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 5 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 16 nilpotents at suitable singular points of $Y \cup T$ and 4 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(7, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 4 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(6, 5)$ we use Lemma 3.6 for $a = 1$ and $b = t = 4$.

6.40 $HO(13)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(11)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(13)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 7 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 4 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 26 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(11, 3)$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 4 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(14, 7)$ we use Lemma 6.3 for $a = 3, b = 11, t = 4$.

6.41 We define the following assertion $HO(13)'$. $HO(13)'$: There exists (Y, Q', D, D', S, S', Z) with $Y \in H(42, 39)$, Q' smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y, D, D' are lines of type $(0, 1)$ on Q' , each of them 2-secant to Y , $S \subset D, S' \subset D'$, with $\text{card}(S) = \text{card}(S') = 3, \text{card}(S'') = 4$, $Z \subset Q', \text{card}(Z) = 6$, such that $h^0(\Omega(14) \otimes I_{Y \cup S \cup S' \cup Z}) = h^1(\Omega(14) \otimes I_{Y \cup S \cup S' \cup S''}) = 0$; furthermore there are 6 lines R_i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$, of type $(1, 0)$ with R_i 1-secant to Y for every i , $R_i \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ for every i .

6.42 The proof of $HO(13)$ given in 6.40 shows that $HO(13)'$ is true.

6.43 We define the following assertion $HO(15)'$. $HO(15)'$: There exists (Y, Q', D', S', Z) with $Y \in H(42, 39)$, Q' smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y, D' is a line of type $(0, 1)$ on Q' which 2-secant to Y , $S \subset D, S' \subset D'$, with $\text{card}(S) = \text{card}(S') = 3, \text{card}(S'') = 4, Z \subset Q', \text{card}(Z) = 6$, such that $h^0(\Omega(16) \otimes I_{Y \cup S' \cup Z}) = h^1(\Omega(16) \otimes I_{Y \cup S \cup S' \cup S''}) = 0$; furthermore there are 6 lines R_i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$, of type $(1, 0)$ with R_i 1-secant to Y for every i , $R_i \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ for every i .

6.44 $HO(15)$ and $HO(15)'$ are true: Take (Y, Q', D, D', S, S', Z) satisfying $HO(13)'$. Take a smooth quadric Q containing D , say as a line of type $(1, 0)$, but not D' . We obtain a solution of $HO(15)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, one of them being D , and 10 lines of type $(0, 1)$ and the 3 nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 3 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(14, 6)$ we use Lemma 3.6. In the same way we obtain $HO(15)'$.

6.45 $HO(17)$ is true: Take (Y, Q', D', S', Z) satisfying $HO(15)'$. Take a smooth quadric $Q \neq Q'$ and containing D' , say as a line of type $(1, 0)$, but not D . We obtain a solution of $HO(17)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, D' being one of them, and 11 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus the 4 nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S'$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 4 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(16, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.46 $HO(19)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(17)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(19)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 11 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 19 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 28 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(11, 4)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other line of type $(1, 0)$ is 1-secant to Y and 8 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(17, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.47 $HO(21)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(19)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(21)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 11 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 28 nilpotents at suitable singular points of $Y \cup T$ and 44 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(10, 6)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 8 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(18, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.48 $HO(23)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(21)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(23)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 10 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 44 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 61 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(14, 6)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 8 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(18, 14)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.49 $HO(25)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(23)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(25)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 14 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 61 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 22 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(15, 3)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 9 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(20, 12)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.50 We define the following assertion $HO(27)'$. There exists (Y, Q', D, S, Z) with $Y \in H(150, 147)$, Q' smooth quadric, D is a line of type $(0, 1)$ which is 2-secant to Y , $S \subset D$, $card(S) = 2$, $Z \subset Q'$, $card(Z) = 59$ and $h^0(\Omega(14) \otimes I_{Y \cup S \cup Z}) = h^1(\Omega(14) \otimes I_{Y \cup S \cup Z}) = 0$; furthermore, (Y, Q', Z) may be prolonged (adding suitable 2 points of Q') to a solution of $HO(27)$.

6.51 $HO(27)$ and $HO(27)'$ are true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(25)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(27)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 15 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 22 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 61 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(12, 6)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other line of type $(1, 0)$ is 1-secant to Y and 6 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(25, 13)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.52 $HO(29)$ is true: We start with a solution of $HO(27)'$ and copy the proof that $HO(15)'$ implies $HO(17)$.

6.53 $HO(31)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(29)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(31)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 12 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 59 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 8 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(19, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 4 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(26, 20)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.54 We define the following assertion $HO(33)'$. $HO(33)'$: There exists (Y, Q', D, S, Z) with $Y \in H(216, 213)$, Q' smooth quadric, D is a line of type $(0, 1)$ which is 2-secant to Y , $S \subset D$, $card(S) = 2$, $Z \subset Q'$, $card(Z) = 84$ and $h^0(\Omega(34) \otimes I_{Y \cup S \cup Z}) = h^1(\Omega(34) \otimes I_{Y \cup S \cup Z}) = 0$; furthermore, (Y, Q', Z) may be prolonged (adding suitable 2 points of Q') to a solution of $HO(27)$.

6.55 $HO(33)$ and $HO(33)'$ are true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(31)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(10)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 19 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 8 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 86 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(21, 5)$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 8 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(32, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.56 $HO(35)$ is true: Start with a solution of $HO(33)'$ and copy the proof of $HO(17)$.

6.57 $HO(37)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(35)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(37)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 20 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 84 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 93 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(25, 5)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 8 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(32, 18)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.58 $HO(39)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(37)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(39)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 25 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 93 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 2 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(26, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 15 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega \mid Q)(35, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.59 $HO(41)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(39)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(41)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 26 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 2 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 33 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(26, 3)$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 2 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(40, 16)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.60 $HO(43)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(41)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(43)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 26 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 33 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 81 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 4)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other line of type $(1, 0)$ is 1-secant to Y and 6 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(41, 18)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.61 $HO(45)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(43)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(45)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 81 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 17 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 28 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(44, 17)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.62 $HO(47)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(45)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(47)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 17 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 99 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(30, 5)$; among the lines of T the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 17 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(46, 19)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.63 $HO(49)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(47)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(49)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 30 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 99 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 61 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 4)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 12 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(45, 20)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

6.64 $HO(51)$ is true: Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HO(35)$. We obtain a solution of $HO(51)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 61 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 185 suitable points; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 1 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ is 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega | Q)(49, 23)$ we use Lemma 3.6.

Now we prove the initial cases for $T\Pi$ needed for Theorem 0.1. We define the following assertions.

$T\{2\}$: The general union Z of 5 points of Π has $h^0(T\Pi \otimes I_Z) = h^1(T\Pi \otimes I_Z) = 0$.

$T\{1\}$: For every line $D \subset \Pi$ we have $h^0(T\Pi(-1) \otimes I_D) = h^1(T\Pi(-1) \otimes I_D) = 0$.

$T\{3\}$: For a general $C \in H(3, 0)$ and a general $Z \subset \Pi$ with $\text{card}(Z) = 3$ we have $h^0(T\Pi(1) \otimes I_{C \cup Z}) = h^1(T\Pi(1) \otimes I_{C \cup Z}) = 0$.

Remark 6.65 A proof of assertion $T\{2\}$ was not done in [BG], §3, only because assertion $T\{2\}$ was previously known.

Lemma 6.66 $HT(4)$ is true.

Proof. Take $Z \subset \Pi$ with $\text{card}(Z) = 5$ and satisfying $T\{2\}$. Take a smooth quadric Q with $\text{card}(Z \cap Q) = 2$ and such that $Z \cap Q$ contains 2 points contained in a line F of Q of type $(1, 0)$. Set $S := Z \setminus (Z \cap Q)$. Let E and E' be general curves of type $(2, 1)$ on Q with $E' \cap F = Z \cap Q$. Set $Z \cap Q = P, P'$ and $X := E \cup E' \cup F \cup \chi(P) \cup \chi(P')$. By 1.4 we have $X \in H(7, 4)$ and $\text{Res}_Q(X \cup S) = Z$. Hence in the usual way we obtain $HT(4)$. Since $\alpha'(4)^* = 3 \leq 6 = \delta'(6)^* - \delta'(4)^*$ we obtain $HT(6)$, too. $\diamond$

Remark 6.67 The Euler sequence gives easily that $T\{1\}$ is true.

Lemma 6.68 *Assertion $T\{3\}$ is true.*

Proof. Fix a line D and a smooth quadric Q intersecting transversally D . Take a general curve E of type $(1, 1)$ on Q containing $D \cap Q$ and set $X := D \cup E$. Take as Z the union of 3 general points of Q . Then use Remark 6.67, 1.4 and Horace Lemma 1.3. $\diamond$

Lemma 6.69 *Assertion $HT(5)$ is true.*

Proof. Take (C, Z) satisfying $T\{3\}$ and a smooth quadric Q intersecting transversally C , with $\text{card}(Z \cap Q) = 2$ and such that $Z \cap Q$ is contained in a line F , say of type $(0, 1)$. We take 2 smooth curves $E, E' \subset Q$ of type $(2, 1)$ with $\text{card}(E \cap C) = 4, E' \cap C = \emptyset$ and $P, P' := Z \cap Q \subset E'$. Take as S the union of $Z \setminus P, P'$ and a general point of Q . Set $X := C \cup E \cup E' \cup F \cup \chi(P) \cup \chi(P')$. In the usual way we obtain $HT(5)''$. Since $\alpha'(5)^* = 2 \leq 6 = \delta'(7)^* - \delta(5)^* - 2$, the same proof gives $HT(5)$. $\diamond$

Lemma 6.70 *$HT(7)$ is true.*

Proof. Since $\alpha'(5)^* = 2, HT(5)$ implies $HT(7)$. $\diamond$

Lemma 6.71 *$HT(6)$ is true.*

Proof. Take a solution (Y, Z) of $HT(4)$ and a smooth quadric Q intersecting transversally Y , containing 3 disjoint 2-secant lines of Q , say as lines of type $(1, 0)$, each of them containing a point of Z ; this is possible for general Y because we just need to find a smooth quadric through 3 disjoint lines of Π . Let E be a union of e of type $(2, 3)$ on Q with E 6-secant to Y . Set $X := Y \cup E$ and take as S the union of Z and 4 general points of Q . To handle the cohomology of $(Y \cup S) \cap (Q \setminus E)$, use Lemma 3.2 for $x = 2$ and $y = 1$. $\diamond$

Lemma 6.72 *$HT(9)$ is true.*

Proof. Take (X', S') satisfying $HT(7)''$ (Lemma 6.70) and a smooth quadric Q containing S' . Take general curves E, E' on Q with E of type $(3, 2)$, E 6-secant to X' , E' containing S' and $E' \cap X' = \emptyset$. Take as $H \in H(24, 21)$ the union of X', E, E' and the points $\chi(P)$ with $P \in S'$. $\diamond$

Remark 6.73 $HT(8)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q, S') of $HT(6)$ (Lemma 6.67) and a smooth quadric Q' intersecting transversally Y and containing two 2-secant lines to Y . We obtain a solution of $HT(8)$ by adding in Q' to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 2 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 5 suitable points in the intersection with Q' of the 3 lines of Q containing the 12 points of S' . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(3, 3)$ we use Lemma 3.7 for $x = y = 3, a = 3, b = 2, t = 1$.

Remark 6.74 $HT(10)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(8)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(10)$ by adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 5 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 17 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 1 point; among the lines of T two of the 2 ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , while other lines are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(3, 3)$ we use Lemma 3.2.

Remark 6.75 We define the following modification, called $HT(12)'$, of the assertion $HT(12)$; $HT(12)'$: There exists (Y, Q, T, S) with $Y \in H(36, 33)$, Q smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y , T union of lines of type $(5, 4)$ of Q such that one of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ of T is 3-secant to Y , one of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ is 2-secant to Y , the remaining lines of T are 1-secant to Y , $S \subseteq \text{Sing}(T)$, $\text{card}(S) = \alpha'(12)^* = 14$ and $r_{Y \cup S, T\Pi(10)}$ is bijective. Here we will check $HT(12)'$. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(10)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(12)'$ by adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, both 2-secant to Y , and 6 lines of type $(0, 1)$, one of them 1-secant to Y , plus one nilpotent and 14 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(5, 5)$ with the prescribed secant behaviour with respect to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(8, 4)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.76 We define the following modification, called $HT(14)'$, of the assertion $HT(14)$; $HT(14)'$: There exists (Y, Q, A, S) with $Y \in H(45, 42)$, Q smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y , A a union of lines of type $(7, 6)$ of Q such that one of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ of T is 3-secant to Y , one of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ is 2-secant to Y , the remaining lines of T are 1-secant to Y , $S \subseteq \text{Sing}(T)$, $\text{card}(S) = \alpha'(14)^* = 36$ and $r_{Y \cup S, T \amalg (12)}$ is bijective. Here we will check $HT(12)'$. Take a solution (Y, Q, T, S) of $HT(12)'$. We obtain a solution of $HT(14)'$ by adding in Q to Y T plus 14 nilpotent supported by $\text{Sing}(T)$ and 36 points on the the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(7, 6)$ with the prescribed secant behaviour with respect to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T \amalg | Q)(8, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.9 for $x = 8, y = 7, a = 7, b = t = 6$.

Remark 6.77 $HT(16)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q, A, S) of $HT(14)'$. We obtain a solution of $HT(16)$ by adding in Q to Y A plus 36 nilpotents at suitable singular points of A and 26 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(10, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T \amalg | Q)(8, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.78 $HT(18)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(16)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(18)$ by adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 10 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 26 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 17 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(14, 2)$; among the lines of T two of the 2 ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 10 of the other lines of type are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T \amalg | Q)(14, 6)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.79 $HT(20)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(18)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(20)$ by adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 14 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 17 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 11 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(14, 2)$; among the lines of T two of ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 7 of the other ones are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T \amalg | Q)(18, 6)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.80 $HT(22)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(20)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(22)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 14 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 11 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 10 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(15, 2)$; among the lines of T the 2 ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 11 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(18, 6)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.81 $HT(24)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(22)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(24)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 15 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 10 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 16 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(16, 2)$; among the lines of T the 2 ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 10 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(20, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.82 $HT(26)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(24)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(26)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 16 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 16 nilpotents at suitable singular points of $Y \cup T$ and 31 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(16, 3)$; among the lines of T the 2 ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and the ones of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(22, 8)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.83 $HT(28)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(26)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(28)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 16 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 31 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 57 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(18, 5)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other one of type $(1, 0)$ is 1-secant to Y and 16 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(23, 10)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.84 $HT(30)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(28)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(30)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 18 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 57 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 11 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(19, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the remaining ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 6 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(23, 10)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.85 $HT(32)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(30)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(32)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 19 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 11 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 59 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(21, 4)$; among the lines of T the 2 ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 11 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(28, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.86 $HT(34)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(32)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(34)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 21 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 59 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 27 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(16, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 19 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(28, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.87 $HT(36)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(34)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(36)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 23 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 27 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 2 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(22, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other one of type $(1, 0)$ is 1-secant to Y and 5 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(31, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.88 $HT(38)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(36)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(38)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 22 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 2 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 95 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(22, 6)$; among the lines of T the 2 ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 2 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(34, 14)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.89 $HT(40)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(38)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(40)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 22 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 95 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 100 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(16, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 11 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(32, 16)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.90 $HT(42)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(40)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(42)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 23 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 100 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 110 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(27, 6)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 1-secant to Y and 12 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(34, 17)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.91 $HT(44)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(42)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(44)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 27 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 110 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 12 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 2)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and all other lines are disjoint from Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(36, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.92 $HT(46)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(44)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(46)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 12 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 52 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(30, 3)$; among the lines of T the 2 ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 12 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(42, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.93 $HT(48)$ is true. Take a solution (Y, Q) of $HT(46)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(48)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 30 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 52 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 111 points on the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(30, 6)$; among the lines of T 2 of the ones of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and 23 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(43, 16)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.94 $HT(50)$ is true. Take the following deformation (Y, Q) of a solution of $HT(48)$. We obtain a solution of $HT(50)$ adding in Q to Y the union, T , of 7 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 111 nilpotents at suitable singular points of T and 46 suitable points of Q ; we assume that one of the line of type $(1, 0)$ of T is 2-secant to Y and another one is 1-secant to Y , while the remaining lines of T are disjoint from Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(41, 19)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.95 $HT(11)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(9)$ (Lemma 6.74). To obtain a solution of $H(11)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, both 2-secant to Y , and 4 lines of type $(0, 1)$ disjoint from Y and 24 points on the singular points of a configuration of type $(6, 6)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(7, 3)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.96 $HT(13)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(11)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(13)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 6 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 24 nilpotents at points of $Sing(T)$ and 2 points

on a line of type $(0, 1)$; we assume that 2 of the lines of type $(1, 0)$ of T are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ of Y are 1-secant to Y and 4 of the lines of type $(0, 1)$ of T are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(5, 5)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.97 $HT(15)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(13)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(15)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, both 2-secant to Y and 7 lines of type $(0, 1)$, 2 of them 1-secant to Y plus 2 nilpotents supported at suitable singular points of T and 36 points at suitable singular points on a configuration of lines of type $(10, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(11, 5)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.98 $HT(17)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(15)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(17)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 10 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 36 suitable nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 4 points on a line of type $(0, 1)$; we assume that 2 of the lines of T are 2-secant to Y and that 12 of the other lines are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(10, 5)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.99 $HT(19)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(17)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(19)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ 2-secant to Y and 11 lines of type $(0, 1)$, 4 of them 1-secant to Y , plus 4 suitable nilpotents at points of $Sing(T)$ and 20 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(10, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(15, 6)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.100 $HT(21)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(19)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(21)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 10 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 20 suitable nilpotents at the singular points of T and 46 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(14, 5)$; we assume that 2 of the lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ of T are 1-secant to Y and 9 of the lines of T of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(12, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.101 $HT(23)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(21)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(23)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 14 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 17 suitable nilpotents at the singular points of T and 20 points on a configuration of lines of type $(13, 3)$; we assume that 2 of the lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ of T are 1-secant to Y and 7 of the lines of T of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(16, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.102 $HT(25)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(23)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(25)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 13 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 20 suitable nilpotents at the singular points of T and 66 points on a configuration of lines of type $(14, 6)$; we assume that 2 of the lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y , the other lines of type $(1, 0)$ of T is 1-secant to Y and 5 of the lines of T of type $(0, 1)$ are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(20, 10)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.103 $HT(27)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(25)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(27)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 6 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 14 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 66 suitable nilpotents at singular points of T and 52 points on a configuration of lines of type $(16, 5)$; we assume that two lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and that the other lines of T are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(19, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.104 $HT(29)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(27)$. To obtain a solution of $H(29)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 16 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 52 nilpotents at singular points of T and 44 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(5, 18)$; a small difference with respect to the general case considered in Lemma 2.6: here we take a configuration of type $(4, 19)$ and not $(4, 17)$ because we need it for the proof of $HT(33)$; we assume that two of the lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and that 10 of the other lines of Y are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(22, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.105 $HT(31)$ is true. Take (Y, S) , $card(S) = 44$, satisfying $HT(29)$. Let Q be a general quadric containing two disjoint 2-secant lines, R and R' , to Y . To obtain a solution of $HT(31)$ we add in Q to $Y \cup S$ the union, T , of R, R' and 20 general lines of type $(0, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(27, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.106 $HT(33)$ is true. Take (Y, Q, S) satisfying $HT(31)$ with $S \subset Q$, $card(S) = 44$, in a singular set of a suitable configuration, T , of lines of type $(5, 18)$; we assume that 2 of the lines of T are 2-secant to Y and that 10 of the other lines of T are 1-secant to Y . To obtain a solution of $HT(33)$ we add to Y the union of T , the nilpotents $\chi(P)$, $P \in S$, and 54 of the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(20, 4)$ on Q . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(26, 13)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.107 $HT(35)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(33)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(35)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 20 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 54 suitable nilpotents at singular points of T and 76 points on a configuration of lines of type $(23, 5)$; we assume that two lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and that 18 of the other lines of T are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(19, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.108 $HT(37)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(35)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(37)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 23 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 76 suitable nilpotents at singular points of T and 6 points on a line of type $(0, 1)$; we assume that two lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and that 13 of the other lines of T are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(30, 12)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.109 $HT(39)$ is true. Take (Y, Q, D, S) , $card(S) = 6$, $S \subset D$, D line of type $(1, 0)$ 2-secant to Y , satisfying $HT(37)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(39)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ (one of them

being D) and 24 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus the 6 nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S$, and 52 points on a configuration of lines of type $(23, 4)$; we assume that the two lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and that the 6 other lines of T intersecting S are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(35, 13)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.110 $HT(41)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(39)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(41)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 23 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 52 suitable nilpotents at singular points of T and 116 points on a configuration of lines of type $(24, 7)$; we assume that two lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and that 10 of the other lines of T are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(35, 16)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.111 $HT(43)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(41)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(43)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 7 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 23 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 116 suitable nilpotents at singular points of T and 76 points on a configuration of lines of type $(28, 4)$; we assume that two lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and that 6 of the other lines of T are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(34, 18)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.112 $HT(45)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(43)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(45)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 28 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 76 suitable nilpotents at singular points of T and 46 points on a configuration of lines of type $(30, 3)$; we assume that two lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and that 24 of the other lines of T are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(39, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.113 $HT(47)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $HT(45)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(47)$ we add in Q to Y the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 30 lines of type $(0, 1)$ plus 46 suitable nilpotents at singular points of T and 28 points on a line of type $(1, 0)$; we assume that two lines of T of type $(1, 0)$ are 2-secant to Y and that 18 of the other lines of T are 1-secant to Y . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi \mid Q)(42, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.114 $HT(49)$ is true. Take (Y, Q, D, S) satisfying $HT(33)$ with $S \subset D$, $\text{card}(S) = 22$, D 2-secant line of type $(1, 0)$ to Q and Q containing another 2-secant line R of type $(1, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $HT(49)$ we add in Q to Y the union of D, R , another 1-secant line of type $(1, 0)$, 31 lines of type $(0, 1)$, 22 of them containing a point of S and 1-secant to Y , another one 1-secant to Y , the nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S^4$, and 24 points on a line of type $(1, 0)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi | Q)(19, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 6.115 The proof of $HT(49)$ shows that $HT(51)$ is true.

7 Proof of Theorem 0.3

Here we show how to modify the proof of Theorem 0.1 to obtain a proof of Theorem 0.3. We start with an integer $m(0)$, say $m(0) = 100$, such that for every integer $m \geq m(0)$ properties $HO(m)$ and $HT(m)$ are true and we may apply Lemma 2.13. We will do the construction and introduce new notations just for Ω ; the interested reader can do the same for $T\Pi$ in the same way (e.g. calling $u'(s, x), g'(s, x)$ and $v'(s, x)$ the corresponding integers). We fix an integer $s \geq m(0)$. For all integers $x \geq s$ with $x \equiv smod(2)$ we define the non-negative integers $u(s, x), g(s, x)$ and $v(s, x)$ in the following way. Set $u(s, s) := \delta(s)^*$, $g(s, s) := u(s, s) - 3$ and $v(s, s) = \alpha(s, s)^*$. Assume defined the integers $u(s, x), g(s, x)$ and $v(s, x)$ satisfying the relations

$$g(s, x) = u(s, s) - 3 + 2(u(s, x) - u(s, s) - 10(x - s)) \quad (23)$$

$$(3x-1)u(s, x) + 3(1-g(s, x)) + v(s, x) = (x+3)(x+2)x/2 = h^0(\Omega(x+1)) \quad (24)$$

$$u(s, x) \geq u(s, s) \quad (25)$$

$$0 \leq v(s, x) \leq 3x - 1 \quad (26)$$

By 23 we have $2u(s, x) - 9 \geq g(s, x)$ and hence it is defined the component $H(u(s, x), g(s, x))$ of $\text{Hilb}(\Pi)$. Furthermore, the pair $(u(s, x), g(s, x))$ has critical value x . Define the integers $u(s, x+2), g(s, x+2)$ and $v(s, x+2)$ in the following way. Let z be the maximal integer such that $(3x+5)z + 3(1-2(x-u(s, x)-20)) \leq h^0(\Omega(x+3))$. Let $u(s, x+2)$ be the only integer with $z-2 \leq u(s, x+2) \leq z$ such that $h^0(\Omega(x+3)) - (3x+5)u(s, x+2) \equiv$

$h^0(\Omega(x+3)) \pmod{3}$. Set $g(s, x+2) := u(s, s) - 3 + 2(u(s, x+2) - u(s, s) - 10(x+2-s)) = g(s, x) + 2(u(s, x+2) - u(s, x) - 20)$. There is a unique integer $v(x, s+2)$ such that

$$(3x+5)u(s, x+2) + 3(1 - g(s, x+2)) + 3v(s, x+2) = h^0(\Omega(x+3)) \quad (27)$$

We need to check that $0 \leq v(s, x+2) \leq 3x+5$; this is obvious by the definition of z if $v(s, x+2) = z$ and true if $v(s, x+2) = z-1$ or $z-2$ by the definition of $g(s, x+2)$. By Lemma 2.13 we see by induction on x that $u(s, x+2) \geq u(s, x) + 20$ and that $u(s, t) \geq d(t)^*$ for every t . This implies $g(s, x+2) \geq g(s, x)$. Take any smooth curve $Y \in H(u(s, x), g(s, x))$ we may obtain a reducible element of $H(u(s, x+2), g(s, x+2))$. We may obtain a reducible element of $H(u(s, x+2), g(s, x+2))$ adding to Y the union of 20 lines which are 1-secant to Y and $u(s, x+2) - u(s, x) - 20$ lines that are 3-secant to Y . We fix a triple (d, g, m) such that $d < g+3, g \leq 2d-9$ (i.e. $H(d, g)$ is defined), $m \geq m(0)+2$, and (d, g) has critical value m . We will assume that a general $C \in H(d, g)$ has maximal rank; as discussed in section 1 we may make this assumption (for some function $\gamma(g)$ in the statement of 0.3) by [BE2] Th. 0.2. Since $H(d, g)$ is an irreducible component, it is sufficient to prove that for a general $X \in H(d, g)$ and a general $Y \in H(d, g)$ the restriction maps $r_{X, \Omega(m+1)}$ and $r_{Y, T\Pi(m-2)}$ have maximal rank. To obtain curves with special hyperplane section we added in [BE2] to "good curves" 3-secant lines. The reader should have no problem to modify the assertions $HO(m)$ and $HT(m)$ so that (after taking care of the nilpotents $\chi(P)$ introduced in 1.4) in the inductive step from $HO(m-2)$ to $HO(m)$ (or from $HT(m-2)$ to $HT(m)$) we add two, three or four 2-secant lines (all of them of type $(1, 0)$), while the other lines are 3-secant; this is exactly what is done in [BE2], §6. Then to arrive exactly to a pair (d, g) we repeat section 5. It is obvious that in this way asymptotically we cover exactly the range claimed in the statement of 0.3 (as was the case in [BE2] for the postulation, i.e. for the vector bundle O_Π).

8 Proof of Theorem 0.2

Theorem 0.1 implies Theorem 0.2 for large g , but to obtain it for low g we will need to modify the inductive machine. Fix an integer $g \geq 0$. Essentially we will do first the genus 0 case for large degree until we arrive at rational

curves with expected minimal free resolution and with critical value bigger than, say, $69g + 27$. Then we apply one inductive step, say a proof that $R(m-2, 0)$ implies $R(m, g)$ for large m , to increase the genus to g and then consider again the general machine (i.e. $HO(m-2, g)$ implies $HO(m, g)$ and $HT(m-2, g)$ implies $HT(m, g)$ for $m \geq 70$ proved in section 2) (see the last part of this section for more details). The final part is given by section 5 without any modification. To carry over this program we have to deal with smooth rational curves. For these curves we may use the explicit values of $d(m, 0)$, $a(m, 0)$, $d'(m, 0)$ and $a'(m, 0)$ for $m \leq 70$ given in 2.12. To start the inductive machine for genus 0 we consider the following assertions. We fix a triple (d, g, m) such that $d \geq g + 3$, $m \geq m(0) + 2$, $m \geq 69g + 27$ and (d, g) has critical value m . By [BE1] a general $C \in H(d, g)$ has maximal rank. Since by its very definition $H(d, g)$ is irreducible, it is sufficient to prove that for a general $X \in H(d, g)$ and a general $Y \in H(d, g)$ the restriction maps $r_{X, \Omega(m+1)}$ and $r_{Y, T\Pi(m-2)}$ have maximal rank. Just to fix the notations, we assume that to check that the homogeneous ideal of a general $X \in H(d, g)$ has the right number of generators, we need to check the surjectivity of $r_{X, \Omega(m+1)}$ and the injectivity of $r_{X, \Omega(m)}$. Since $m \geq 67g + 27$ we have $d(m-1, 0) \leq d(m-1, g) \leq d(m-1, 0) + 1$ and $d(m, 0) \leq d(m, g) \leq d(m, 0) + 1$. Hence $d(m-1, 0)^* \leq d(m-1, g)^* \leq d(m-1, 0)^* + 3$ and $d(m, 0)^* \leq d(m, g)^* \leq d(m, 0)^* + 3$. Hence we have $20(d(m-1, g)^* - d(m-3, 0)^* - 27) \geq 4m$ and $20(d(m, g)^* - d(m-2, 0) - 27) \geq 4m$. Since (d, g) has critical value m we have $d(m-1, g) < d \leq d(m, g)$. Hence as in section 5 we obtain just increasing the genus by g that a suitable $Y \in H(d(m, g), g)$ has $r_{Y, \Omega(m+1)}$ surjective; furthermore, we obtain such Y of the form $Y = X \cup A$ with $X \in H(d, g)$ and A $d(m, g) - d$ lines 1-secant to X . Hence $r_{X, \Omega(m+1)}$ is surjective. Similarly we find (Z, S) with $Z \in H(d(m-1, g)^*, g)$, $\text{card}(S) = a(m-1, g)^*$, with $r_{Z \cup S, \Omega(m)}$ bijective and such that S is contained in $d(m-1, g) - d(m-1, g)^* + 1$ lines 1-secant to Z . Since $d > d(m-1, g)$, we have $d - d(m-1, g)^* \geq d(m-1, g) - d(m-1, g)^* + 1$, there is a reducible element $\Omega \in H(d, g)$, Ω union of Z and $d(m-1, g) - d(m-1, g)^* + 1$ lines containing S with $r_{\Omega, \Omega(m)}$ injective. Hence we conclude, modulo the initial cases for $g = 0$ needed to start the induction. Here we will do these initial cases and conclude the paper. Here are the initial cases for $RO(m, 0)$ and $RT(m, 0)$, $m \leq 56$, needed for the proof of Theorem 0.2.

8.1 $RO(2, 0)$ is true. Take a line Y and a general $S \subset \Pi$ with $\text{card}(S) = 4$.

Take a smooth quadric Q containing Y and S . We may assume that S is general in Q . Hence we may apply Lemma 3.1 to $(\Omega|Q)(2, 3)$.

8.2 $RO(4, 0)$ is true. Take a line Y and a general $S \subset \Pi$ with $\text{card}(S) = 4$. By 8.1 (Y, S) satisfies $RO(2, 0)$. There is a smooth quadric Q and distinct lines D, D' of type $(1, 0)$ on Q , R, R', R'' of type $(0, 1)$ on Q such that $D \cap Y \neq \emptyset, D' \cap Y \neq \emptyset, S = D \cap R, D' \cap R, D \cap R'', D' \cap R'$. Take as solution of $RO(4, 0)$ the union of Y, D, D', R, R', R'' , the nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S$, and a suitable subset S' of Q with $\text{card}(S') = 5$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(3, 2)$ it is sufficient to use Lemma 3.1 .

8.3 $RO(6, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(4, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(6, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 5 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (10 all the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 5 nilpotents supported by $\text{Sing}(T)$ and 3 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(4, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(6, 2)$ we use Lemma 3.1 .

8.4 $RO(8, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(6, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(8, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$ 1-secant to Y , 6 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (3 of them being 1-secant to Y) and 3 nilpotents supported by $\text{Sing}(T)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(8, 3)$ we use Lemma 3.1 .

8.5 $RO(10, 0)$ is true. Take $Y \in H(19, 0)$ satisfying $RO(8, 0)$ and a general quadric Q . To obtain a solution of $RO(10, 0)$ we add in Q to Y a line of type $(1, 0)$ 1-secant to Y , 4 lines of type $(0, 1)$ and a subset S with $\text{card}(S) = 27$ of the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(7, 6)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(10, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.8 for the integers $y = 10, x = 7, a = 4, b = 8, t = 3$. We will call $RO(10, 0)'$ the special configuration obtained in this way.

8.6 $RO(12, 0)$ is true. Take (X, Q, S) satisfying $R(10, 0)'$ and a general quadric Q'' . We add in Q'' a line of type $(1, 0)$ 1-secant to X , 8 general lines of type $(0, 1)$ and a suitable set S' of the intersection with Q'' of the configuration of lines of Q supporting S and with $\text{card}(S') = 7$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q'' for $(\Omega|Q'')(12, 5)$ we use Lemma 3.6 for the integers $y = 12, x = 5, a = 3, b = 3, t = 2$. We will call $RO(12, 0)'$ the special configuration obtained in this way.

8.7 $RO(14, 0)$ is true. Take $(Z, Q, S \cup S')$ the configuration satisfying $RO(12, 0)'$ just obtained. To obtain a solution of $RO(14, 0)$ we add in Q the configuration, T , of type $(6, 7)$, the nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S \cup S'$ and 5 collinear points. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(9, 8)$ we use Lemmas 3.6 or 3.8 for the integers $a = 1, b = t = 5$.

8.8 $RO(16, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q, S) satisfying $RO(14, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(16, 0)$ add in Q the line of type $(1, 0)$ containing S and intersecting Y , 10 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (among them the ones intersecting S and exactly these ones 1-secant to Y), the nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S$, and 18 of the singular points of a configuration of lines of type $(2, 10)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(16, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.8 for the integers $y = 16, x = 7, a = 2, b = 10, t = 8$.

8.9 $RO(18, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(14, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(18, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 10 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (10 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 18 nilpotents supported by $\text{Sing}(T)$ and 40 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(12, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(17, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.10 $RO(20, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(18, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(20, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 12 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (8 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 40 nilpotents supported by $\text{Sing}(T)$ and 14 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(12, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(17, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.11 $RO(22, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(20, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(22, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 12 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (4 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 14 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 54 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(14, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(21, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.12 $RO(24, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(22, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(24, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 14 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (4 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 54 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 38 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(16, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(21, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.13 $RO(26, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(24, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(26, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 16 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (9 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 38 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 27 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(18, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(24, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.14 $RO(28, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(26, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(28, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 18 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (12 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 27 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 23 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(19, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(27, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.15 $RO(30, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(28, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(30, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 19 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (11 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 23 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 28 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(20, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(29, 12)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.16 $RO(32, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(30, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(32, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 20 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (10 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 28 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 44 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(20, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(31, 13)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.17 $RO(34, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(32, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(34, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 20 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (7 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 44 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 73 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(23, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(32, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.18 $RO(36, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(34, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(36, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 23 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (8 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 73 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 10 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(24, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(33, 14)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.19 $RO(38, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(36, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(38, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 24 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (11 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 10 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 65 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(25, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(38, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.20 $RO(40, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(38, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(40, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 26 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (14 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 65 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 20 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(26, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(38, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.21 $RO(42,0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(40,0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(42,0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1,0)$, 26 lines of type $(0,1)$ (21 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 10 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 109 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(26,5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(42,17)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.22 $RO(44,0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(42,0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(44,0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1,0)$, 26 lines of type $(0,1)$ (10 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 109 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 90 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(27,5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(44,19)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.23 $RO(46,0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(44,0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(46,0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1,0)$, 27 lines of type $(0,1)$ (12 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 90 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 84 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(27,5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(46,20)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.24 $RO(48,0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(46,0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(48,0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1,0)$, 27 lines of type $(0,1)$ (6 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 84 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 93 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(30,4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(48,22)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.25 $RO(50,0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(48,0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(50,0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1,0)$, 30 lines of type $(0,1)$ (7 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 93 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 119 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(34,4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(50,21)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.26 $RO(52, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(50, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(52, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 34 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (21 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 23 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 27 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(35, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(49, 19)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.27 $RO(54, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(52, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(54, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 35 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (28 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 27 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 69 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(35, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(54, 20)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.28 $RO(56, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(54, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(56, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 35 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (36 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 23 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 152 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(37, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(55, 22)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.29 $RO(58, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(56, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(58, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 37 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (9 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 152 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 87 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(38, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(54, 22)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.30 $RO(60, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(58, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(60, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 line of type $(1, 0)$, 38 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (14 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 87 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 37 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(42, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(60, 19)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.31 $RO(62, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(60, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(62, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 42 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (38 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 37 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 4 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(40, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(62, 21)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.32 $RO(64, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(62, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(64, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 1 line of type $(1, 0)$, 40 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (5 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 4 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 181 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(40, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(64, 25)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.33 $RO(66, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(64, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(66, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 40 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (26 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 27 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 194 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(44, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(66, 27)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.34 $RO(68, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(66, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(68, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 44 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (23 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 194 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 27 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(46, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(68, 25)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.35 $RO(70, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(68, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(70, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 46 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (28 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 27 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 82 suitable points. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(70, 25)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.36 $RO(3, 0)$ is true. Take a point P and a smooth quadric Q' with $P \notin Q'$. Abusing notations, we may say that $\{P\}$ satisfies $RO(1, 0)$ because $\{P\}$ is the complete intersection of 3 planes and hence its minimal free resolution is as it should be. To obtain a solution of $RO(3, 0)$ add in Q' to $\{P\}$ the union, T , of 1 line of type $(1, 0)$, 2 lines of type $(0, 1)$ and 5 suitable points; and note that any 6 "suitable" points are contained in a smooth quadric. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q' for $(\Omega|Q')(3, 2)$ we use Lemma 3.1.

8.37 $RO(5, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q, S) satisfying $RO(3, 0)$ and a smooth quadric Q' intersecting transversally Y and with $S \cap Q' = \emptyset$. To obtain a solution of $RO(5, 0)$ add in Q' the union, T , of 1 line of type $(1, 0)$ 1-secant to Y , 3 general lines of type $(0, 1)$ and 7 points on the intersection with Q' of the 4 lines of Q containing S . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(5, 3)$ we use Lemma 3.8 for the integers $y = 5, x = 3, a = 2, b = 4, t = 3$.

8.38 $RO(7, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(5, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(7, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 4 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (5 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 13 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 4 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(3, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(4, 4)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.39 $RO(9, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(7, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(9, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$ (both of them 1-secant to Y), 4 lines of type $(0, 1)$ disjoint from Y , 4 non-collinear nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 15 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(8, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(9, 5)$ we use Lemma 3.8 with $a = 2, b = 8$ and $t = 7$.

8.40 $RO(11, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(9, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(11, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 8 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (9 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 15 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 2 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(7, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(10, 4)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.41 $RO(13, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(11, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(13, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 1 line of type $(1, 0)$, 7 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (3 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 2 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 25 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(9, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(13, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.42 $RO(15, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(13, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(15, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 9 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (10 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 25 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 16 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(11, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(15, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.43 $RO(17, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(15, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(17, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 11 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (7 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 16 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 9 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(13, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(17, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.44 $RO(19, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(17, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(19, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 1 line of type $(1, 0)$, 13 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (10 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 9 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 6 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(14, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(19, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.45 $RO(21, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(19, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(21, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 1 line of type $(1, 0)$, 14 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (7 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 6 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 9 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(15, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(21, 8)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.46 $RO(23, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(21, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(23, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 1 line of type $(1, 0)$, 15 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (10 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 9 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 20 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(15, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(23, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.47 $RO(25, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(23, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(25, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 15 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (6 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 20 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 41 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(15, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(24, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.48 $RO(27, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(25, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(27, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 15 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (14 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 41 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 74 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(17, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(31, 13)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.49 $RO(29, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(27, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(29, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 17 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (5 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 74 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 25 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(21, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(25, 13)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.50 $RO(31, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(29, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(31, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 21 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (6 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y) and 23 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(30, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.51 $RO(33, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(31, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(33, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 1 line of type $(1, 0)$, 26 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (one of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y) and 83 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(12, 7)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(33, 8)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.52 $RO(35, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(33, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(35, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 7 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 12 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (18 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 83 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 54 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(23, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(29, 24)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.53 $RO(37, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(35, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(37, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 23 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (11 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 54 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 49 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(25, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(35, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.54 $RO(39, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(37, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(39, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 25 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (26 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 49 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 56 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(25, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(38, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.55 $RO(41, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(39, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(41, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 25 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (9 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 56 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 77 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(26, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(39, 17)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.56 $RO(43, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(41, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(43, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 26 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (9 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 77 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 114 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(41, 18)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.57 $RO(45, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(43, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(45, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (28 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 114 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 35 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(42, 17)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.58 $RO(47, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(45, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(47, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (10 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 35 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 104 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(31, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(46, 19)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.59 $RO(49, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(47, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(49, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 31 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (15 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 104 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 49 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(34, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(46, 19)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.60 $RO(51, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(49, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(51, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 34 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (17 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 49 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 6 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(33, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(50, 18)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.61 $RO(53, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(51, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(53, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 33 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (7 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 6 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 135 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(34, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(53, 21)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.62 $RO(55, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(53, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(55, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 34 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (37 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 135 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 128 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(32, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(52, 22)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.63 $RO(57, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(55, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(57, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 32 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (7 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 128 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 139 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(32, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(53, 26)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.64 $RO(59, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(57, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(59, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 35 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (4 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 139 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 170 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(39, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(55, 25)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.65 $RO(61, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(59, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(61, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 39 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (19 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 170 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 41 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(41, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(57, 23)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.66 $RO(63, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(61, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(53, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 41 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (all the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 41 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 112 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(43, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(63, 23)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.67 $RO(65, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(63, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(65, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 43 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (29 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 112 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 15 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(47, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(63, 23)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.68 $RO(67, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(65, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(67, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 47 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (16 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 15 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 134 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(44, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(53, 21)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.69 $RO(69, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RO(67, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RO(69, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 44 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (6 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 134 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 77 suitable points. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(\Omega|Q)(52, 22)$ we use Lemma 3.8.

8.70 $RT(3, 0)$ is true. Take a line Y . Abusing notations, we may say that Y satisfies $RT(1, 0)$ because it is a complete intersection of two planes. Take a smooth quadric Q intersecting transversally Y . To obtain a solution of $RT(3, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$ 1-secant to Y , one general line of type $(0, 1)$ and 4 suitable points. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(0, 0)$ we use Lemma 3.2.

8.71 $RT(5, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, S) satisfying $RT(3, 0)$. Take a smooth quadric Q such that the points on S are the singular points of a configuration, T' , of lines of type $(2, 2)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(5, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$ (one of them 1-secant to Y), 3 general lines of type $(0, 1)$ and the 4 nilpotents $\chi(P)$; we assume $T' \subset T$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(0, 0)$ we use Lemma 3.2.

8.72 $RT(7, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(5, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(7, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$ 1-secant to Y , 3 general lines of type $(0, 1)$ and 10 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(6, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(4, 2)$ we use Lemma 3.9 with $y = 4, x = 2, b = t = 5, a = 2$.

8.73 $RT(9, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(5, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(9, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 6 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (6 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 10 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 4 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(5, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(5, 1)$ we use Lemma 3.9 with $y = 5, x = 1, b = t = 4, a = 1$.

8.74 In order to prove $RT(13, 0)$ we define the assertion $RT(11, 0)'$ as $RT(11, 0)$ with the only modification that the corresponding 28 points are in the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(6, 5)$ on Q . Here we will check that $RT(11, 0)'$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(9, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(11, 0)'$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 5 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (5 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 4 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 28 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(6, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(8, 4)$ we use Lemma 3.9 for $y = 8, x = 4, a = 4, b = t = 7$.

8.75 $RT(13)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(11, 0)'$ and a general quadric Q' . To obtain a solution of $RT(13, 0)$ add in Q' the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$ and 6 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (one of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 28 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 28 suitable points on a configuration of lines of type $(6, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(6, 5)$ we use Lemma 3.9 for $y = 6, x = 5, a = 5, b = t = 4$.

8.76 $RT(15, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(13, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(15, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 6 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (9 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 28 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 31 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(7, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(8, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.9 for $y = 8, x = 7, b = 7, a = 5, t = 3$.

8.77 $RT(17, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(15, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(17, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 7 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (8 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 31 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 39 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(8, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(10, 8)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.78 $RT(19, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(17, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(19, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 8 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (12 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 39 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 54 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(12, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(12, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.79 $RT(21, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(19, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(21, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 12 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (11 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 54 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 17 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(13, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(14, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.80 $RT(23, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(21, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(23, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 13 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (6 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 17 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 46 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(16, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(19, 8)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.81 $RT(25, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(23, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(25, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 16 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (17 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 46 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 15 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(16, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(20, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.82 $RT(27, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(25, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(27, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 16 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (16 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 15 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 66 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(17, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(24, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.83 $RT(29, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(27, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(29, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 17 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (19 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 66 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 49 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(19, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(23, 10)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.84 $RT(31, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(29, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(31, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 19 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (14 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 49 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 39 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(21, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(26, 10)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.85 $RT(33, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(31, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(33, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 21 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (20 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 39 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 38 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(22, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(29, 10)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.86 $RT(35, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(33, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(35, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 22 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (18 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 38 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 54 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(22, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(31, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.87 $RT(37, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(35, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(37, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 22 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (13 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 54 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 71 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(22, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(32, 13)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.88 $RT(39, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(37, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(39, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 22 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (11 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 71 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 109 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(25, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(33, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.89 $RT(41, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(39, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(41, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 25 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (14 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 109 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 43 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(26, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(34, 14)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.90 $RT(43, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(41, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(43, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 26 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (19 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 43 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 111 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(28, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(39, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.91 $RT(45, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(43, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(45, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 28 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (one of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 111 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 67 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(30, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(39, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.92 $RT(47, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(45, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(47, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 30 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (10 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 67 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 34 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(31, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(42, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.93 $RT(49, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(47, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(49, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 31 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (5 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 34 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 14 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(34, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(45, 16)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.94 $RT(51, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(49, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(51, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 34 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (15 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 14 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 27 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(35, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(48, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.95 $RT(53, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(51, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(53, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 35 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (28 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 27 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 21 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(36, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(50, 16)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.96 $RT(55, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(53, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(55, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 36 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (22 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 21 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 52 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(36, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(52, 17)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.97 $RT(57, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(55, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(57, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of two lines of type $(1, 0)$, 36 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (18 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 52 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 104 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(39, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(53, 19)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.98 $RT(59, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(57, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(59, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 39 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (29 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 104 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 4 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(39, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(54, 18)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.99 $RT(61, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(59, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(61, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 39 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (5 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 4 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 98 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(41, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(58, 20)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.100 $RT(63, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(61, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(63, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 41 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (29 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 98 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 32 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(41, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(58, 20)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.101 $RT(65, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(63, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(65, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 41 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (33 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 32 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 176 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(41, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(62, 21)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.102 $RT(67, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(65, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(67, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 41 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (17 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 176 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 152 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(43, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(60, 24)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.103 $RT(69, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(67, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(69, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 43 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (27 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 152 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 78 suitable points. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(63, 24)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.104 $RT(2, 0)$ is true because a rational normal curve has the expected minimal free resolution.

8.105 In order to prove $RT(8, 0)$ we introduce the following variation, called $RT(4, 0)'$, of the assertion $RT(4, 0)$. $RT(4, 0)'$: There exists (Y, Q, S, A) with $Y \in H(4, 0)$, Q smooth quadric intersecting transversally Y , $S \subset Q$, $card(S) = 9$, and S is contained in the singular set of a configuration A of lines of type $(6, 4)$, such that 7 of the lines of A are 1-secant to Y , and with $r_{Y \cup S, T\Pi(2)}$ bijective. Here we will check $RT(4, 0)'$. Take $Y \in H(3, 0)$ (hence satisfying $RT(2, 0)$) and add in a general quadric Q a line 1-secant to Y and 9 suitable points. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(2, 1)$ we use Lemma 3.2.

8.106 To prove $RT(8,0)$ we introduce the following variation, called $RT(6,0)'$, of the assertion $RT(6,0)$. $RT(6,0)'$: There exists (Z, Q, Q', S, S', A) such that $Z \in H(9,0)$, Q and Q' are smooth quadrics intersecting transversally $Z, Q \neq Q', S \subset Q, \text{card}(S) = 9, S' \subset Q \cap Q', \text{card}(S') = 5$, A is a configuration of lines of type $(6,4)$, 7 of them 1-secant to $Z, S \cap S' \subset \text{Sing}(A)$ and with $r_{Z \cup S \cup S', T\Pi(4)}$ bijective. Here we will check $RT(6,0)'$. Take (Y, Q, S, A) satisfying $RT(4,0)'$ and a quadric Q' containing 7 suitable points of $\text{Sing}(A) \setminus S$; we may assume that no 3 of these 7 points are collinear and hence that Q' does not contain any line of A . Add in Q' one line of type $(1,0)$ 1-secant to Y , 5 lines of type $(0,1)$ and 7 points of $\text{Sing}(A)$.

8.107 $RT(8,0)$ is true. Take (Z, Q, Q', S, S', A) satisfying $RT(8,0)'$ and add in Q to Z A , the nilpotents $\chi(P), P \in S \cup S'$, and 4 points on a line of type $(0,1)$.

8.108 $RT(10,0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(8,0)'$. To obtain a solution of $RT(10,0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1,0)$, 4 lines of type $(0,1)$ (3 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 8 nilpotents supported by $\text{Sing}(T)$ and 4 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(7,1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(7,4)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.109 $RT(12,0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(10,0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(12,0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1,0)$, 7 lines of type $(0,1)$ (5 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 4 nilpotents supported by $\text{Sing}(T)$ and 15 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(6,3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(9,3)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.110 $RT(14,0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(12,0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(14,0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1,0)$, 6 lines of type $(0,1)$ (6 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 15 nilpotents supported by $\text{Sing}(T)$ and 34 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(9,4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(9,6)$ we use Lemma 3.9 for $y = 7, x = 4, a = 1, b = t = 4$.

8.111 $RT(16, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(14, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(16, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 9 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (11 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 17 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 21 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(12, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(10, 5)$ we use Lemma 3.9 for $y = 9, x = 4, a = 3, b = 6, t = 3$.

8.112 To prove $RT(20, 0)$ and $RT(22, 0)$ we define the following modification, call it $RT(18, 0)'$, of the assertion $RT(18, 0)$; for the assertion $RT(18, 0)'$ we impose that the set S with $card(S) = 40$ is contained in the singular set of a configuration of type $(12, 4)$. Here we check $RT(18, 0)'$. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(16, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(18, 0)'$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 12 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (7 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 17 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 40 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(12, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(16, 6)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.113 $RT(20, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q, S) satisfying $RT(18, 0)'$ and a general quadric Q' . To obtain a solution of $RT(20, 0)$ add in Q' to Y the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 11 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (one of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y) and 3 points contained in the intersection with Q' of the lines supporting S . To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q' for $(T\Pi|Q')(17, 7)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.114 $RT(22, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(20, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(22, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 12 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (11 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 43 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 38 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(14, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(16, 8)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.115 $RT(24, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(22, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(24, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 14 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (13 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 38 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 39 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(15, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(19, 8)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.116 $RT(26, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(24, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(26, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 15 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (12 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 39 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 48 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(15, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(21, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.117 $RT(28, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(26, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(28, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 15 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (7 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 48 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 67 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(19, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(22, 11)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.118 $RT(30, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(28, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(30, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 19 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (14 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 67 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 10 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(20, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(24, 9)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.119 $RT(32, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(30, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(32, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 20 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (11 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 10 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 49 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(20, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(29, 10)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.120 $RT(34, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(32, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(34, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 20 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (2 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 49 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 4 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(22, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(29, 12)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.121 $RT(36, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(34, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(36, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 22 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (5 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 4 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 71 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(24, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(33, 12)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.122 $RT(38, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(36, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(38, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 24 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (one of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 71 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 46 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(26, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(33, 12)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.123 $RT(40, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(38, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(40, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 26 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (22 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 46 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 31 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(27, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(36, 12)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.124 $RT(42, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(40, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(42, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 27 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (6 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 31 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 28 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(38, 13)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.125 $RT(44, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(42, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(44, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (29 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 28 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 39 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(29, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(43, 13)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.126 $RT(46, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(44, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(46, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 29 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (12 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 39 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 46 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(30, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(42, 15)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.127 $RT(48, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(46, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(48, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 30 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (18 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 46 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 111 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(32, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(44, 16)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.128 $RT(50, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(48, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(50, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 32 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (19 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 111 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 28 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(33, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(44, 16)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.129 $RT(52, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(50, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(52, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 33 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (29 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 28 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 109 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(34, 4)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(49, 17)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.130 $RT(54, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(52, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(54, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 4 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 34 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (11 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 109 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 54 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(37, 2)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(48, 18)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.131 $RT(56, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(54, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(56, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 2 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 37 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (19 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 54 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 13 points on a line of type $(0, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(52, 17)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.132 $RT(58, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(56, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(58, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 36 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (14 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 13 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 160 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(36, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(55, 20)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.133 $RT(60, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(58, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(60, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 36 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (21 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 160 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 159 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(37, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(53, 22)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.134 $RT(62, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(60, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(62, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 37 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (16 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 159 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 178 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(39, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(55, 23)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.135 $RT(64, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(62, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(64, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 line of type $(1, 0)$, 39 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (29 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 178 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 29 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(43, 1)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(57, 23)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.136 $RT(66, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(64, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(66, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of one line of type $(1, 0)$, 43 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (30 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 29 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 88 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(42, 3)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(63, 21)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.137 $RT(68, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(66, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(68, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 3 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 42 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (7 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 88 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 173 points on the singular set of a configuration of lines of type $(40, 5)$. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(63, 22)$ we use Lemma 3.9.

8.138 $RT(70, 0)$ is true. Take (Y, Q) satisfying $RT(68, 0)$. To obtain a solution of $RT(70, 0)$ add in Q the union, T , of 5 lines of type $(1, 0)$, 40 lines of type $(0, 1)$ (18 of the lines of T being 1-secant to Y), 28 nilpotents supported by $Sing(T)$ and 78 suitable points. To apply Horace Lemma 1.3 in the smooth quadric Q for $(T\Pi|Q)(63, 28)$ we use Lemma 3.7.

Remark 8.139 By Remark 5.1 in the statement of 0.2 we may take $D(g) = g + 3$ if $g \geq 899$. By the first part of this section we may take $D(g) = d''(899) = 641927953$ for every integer $g \leq 898$ and $D(0) = 932$.

References

- [B] Ballico, E: Generators for the homogeneous ideal of s general points in $\mathbf{P}^3$. J. Algebra **106**, 46-52(1987)
- [BE1] Ballico, E., Ellia, Ph.: The maximal rank conjecture for non special curves in $\mathbf{P}^3$. Invent. Math. **79**, 541-555 (1985)
- [BE2] Ballico, E., Ellia, Ph.: Beyond the maximal rank conjecture for curves in $\mathbf{P}^3$. in: Space Curves, Proc. Rocca di Papa 1985. Lect. Notes in Math. **1266**. Springer-Verlag, 1987
- [BG] Ballico, E., Geramita, A. V.: The minimal free resolution of the ideal of s general points of $\mathbf{P}^3$. Canadian Math. Soc. Conference Proceedings. Vol. **6**. 1986, 1-10
- [EH] Ellingsrud, G., Hirschowitz, A.: Sur le fibré normal des courbes gauches. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **299**, 245-248 (1984)
- [G] Green, M.: Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties. J. Diff. Geom. **19**, 125-171 (1984)
- [H1] Hirschowitz, A.: Sur la postulation générique des courbes rationnelles. Acta Math. **146**, 209-230 (1981)
- [H2] Hirschowitz, A.: Letter to R. Hartshorne, August 12, 1983
- [HS] Hirschowitz, A., Simpson, C.: La résolution minimal de l'idéal d'un arrangement général d'un grand nombre de points dans $\mathbf{P}^n$. Invent. Math. **126**, 467-503 (1996)
- [I] Idà, M.: On the homogeneous ideal of the generic union of lines in $\mathbf{P}^3$. J. reine angew. Math. **403**, 67-153 (1990)
- [Pe] Perrin, D.: Courbes passant par m points généraux de $\mathbf{P}^3$. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mem. **28/29** (1987)
- [Se] Sernesi, E.: On the existence of certain families of curves. Invent. Math. **75**, 25-57 (1984)

- [W1] Walter, C.: On the cohomology of normal bundles of curves in $\mathbf{P}^3$, II.
preprint
- [W2] Walter, C.: Normal bundles and smoothing of algebraic space curves.
preprint

Edoardo Ballico
Dept. of Mathematics, Università di Trento
38050 Povo (TN), Italy
fax: italy + 461881624 *e-mail:* ballico@science.unitn.it